

# **Quarterly Report on Bank Trading and Derivatives Activities**

Fourth Quarter 2017

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency Washington, D.C.

March 2018

## Contents

About This Report3
Executive Summary3
Revenue4
Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations' Trading Revenue4
Holding Company Trading Revenue4
Bank Trading Revenue as a Percentage of Consolidated Holding Company Trading Revenue
Credit Risk6
Market Risk 11
Value-at-Risk
Level 3 Trading Assets
Credit Derivatives
Notional Amounts
Compression Activity14
Glossary of Terms
Index of Tables and Figures18
Appendix: Supplementary Graphs and Tables19

## **About This Report**

The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency's (OCC) quarterly report on bank trading and derivatives activities is based on call report information provided by all insured U.S. commercial banks (including trust companies) and savings associations; reports filed by U.S. financial holding companies; and other published data. A total of 1,364<sup>1</sup> insured U.S. commercial banks and savings associations reported derivative activities at the end of the fourth quarter of 2017. A small group of large financial institutions continues to dominate derivative activity in the U.S. commercial banking system. During the fourth quarter of 2017, four large commercial banks represented 89.4 percent of the total banking industry notional amounts and 85.9 percent of industry net current credit exposure (NCCE) (see table 4 in the appendix).

The OCC and other supervisors have dedicated examiners at the largest banks to continuously evaluate the credit, market, operational, reputation, and compliance risks of bank derivative activities. In addition to the OCC's supervisory activities, the OCC works with other financial supervisors and major market participants to address infrastructure, clearing, and margining issues in over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives. OCC activities include development of objectives and milestones for stronger trade processing and improved market transparency across all OTC derivative categories, migration of certain highly liquid products to clearinghouses, and requirements for posting and collecting margin.

This is the 89th edition of the OCC's *Quarterly Report on Bank Trading and Derivatives Activities*. The first report was published in 1995. In our continuous efforts to improve this report, we now incorporate an entity's Legal Entity Identifier (LEI), if available, in the supplementary tables in the appendix.<sup>2</sup> Please send any comments or feedback on the structure and content of this report to the OCC by email: <u>QuarterlyDerivatives@occ.treas.gov</u>.

## **Executive Summary**

- Insured U.S. commercial banks and savings associations (collectively, banks) reported trading revenue of \$5.0 billion in the fourth quarter of 2017, \$1.4 billion less (21.2 percent) than in the previous quarter and \$1.0 billion less (16.1 percent) than a year earlier (see page 4).
- Credit exposure from derivatives decreased in the fourth quarter of 2017 as compared to the third quarter of 2017. NCCE decreased \$11.2 billion, or 3.2 percent, to \$337.1 billion (see page 8).
- Trading risk, as measured by value-at-risk (VaR), increased in the fourth quarter of 2017. Total average VaR across the top five dealer banking companies increased \$2.0 million, or 0.8 percent, to \$258 million (see page 11).
- Derivative notional amounts decreased in the fourth quarter of 2017 by \$16.4 trillion, or 8.7 percent, to \$172.0 trillion (see page 14).
- Derivative contracts remained concentrated in interest rate products, which represented 75.8 percent of total derivative notional amounts (see page 14).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Beginning March 31, 2017, institutions with total assets of less than \$1 billion have the option to file the FFIEC 051 call report. Due to the limited amount of derivatives data provided by FFIEC 051 call report filers, this report provides this information separately and distinctly in table 13 in the appendix.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  The LEI is a 20-digit alphanumeric code that enables clear and unique identification of legal entities. For more information, see the Global Legal Entity Identifier Foundation's website at <u>www.gleif.org</u>.

## <u>Revenue</u>

## Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations' Trading Revenue

Insured U.S. commercial banks and savings associations reported \$5.0 billion in trading revenue in the fourth quarter of 2017, \$1.4 billion less (21.2 percent) than in the previous quarter and \$1.0 billion less (16.1 percent) than a year earlier (see table 1). The quarter-over-quarter and year-over-year decrease in trading revenue was primarily due to declines in credit, interest rate, and foreign exchange (FX) trading revenue. For a historical view of quarterly bank trading revenue by instrument, see graph 9a in the appendix.

						Y/Y	Y/Y %
	2017 Q4	2017 Q3	Q/Q Change	Q/Q % Change	2016 Q4	Change	Change
Interest Rate & FX	\$4,034	\$4,458	-\$425	-9.5%	\$4,395	-\$361	-8.2%
Equity	\$990	\$1,184	-\$194	-16.4%	\$681	\$309	45.3%
Commodity & Other	\$251	\$284	-\$34	-11.8%	\$296	-\$45	-15.3%
Credit	-\$237	\$470	-\$707	-150.5%	\$634	-\$871	-137.4%
Total Trading Revenue	\$5,037	\$6,396	-\$1,359	-21.2%	\$6,006	-\$969	-16.1%

Table 1. Quarterly Bank Trading Revenue	, in Millions of Dollars
---	--------------------------

Source: Call reports, Schedule RI

## Holding Company Trading Revenue

Consolidated bank holding company (BHC) trading performance provides a more complete picture of trading revenue in the banking system. As shown in table 2, consolidated holding company trading revenue of \$9.9 billion in the fourth quarter of 2017 was \$4.1 billion (29.3 percent) lower than in the previous quarter. A \$2.3 billion decrease in credit revenue, a \$1.6 billion decrease in equity revenue, and a \$0.3 billion decrease in commodity and other revenue drove the decrease in trading revenue from the previous quarter. Year-over-year holding company trading results decreased by \$1.7 billion (14.8 percent). For a historical view of quarterly holding company trading revenue by instrument, see graph 9b in the appendix.

Table 2 Quarterly	Holding	Company	/ Trading Revenue	, in Millions of Dollars
Table Z. Qualteri	y noluling	Company	r frauling Revenue	

	2017 Q4	2017 Q3	Q/Q Change	Q/Q % Change	2016 Q4	Y/Y Change	Y/Y % Change
Interest Rate & FX	\$6,606	\$6,546	\$59	0.9%	\$6,814	-\$208	-3.1%
Equity	\$3,096	\$4,705	-\$1,609	-34.2%	\$3,021	\$75	2.5%
Commodity & Other	\$523	\$784	-\$261	-33.3%	\$1,003	-\$479	-47.8%
Credit	-\$356	\$1,917	-\$2,273	-118.6%	\$742	-\$1,097	-148.0%
Total HC Trading Revenue	\$9,870	\$13,953	-\$4,083	-29.3%	\$11,579	-\$1,709	-14.8%

Source: Consolidated Financial Statements for Holding Companies-FR Y-9C, Schedule HI

## Bank Trading Revenue as a Percentage of Consolidated Holding Company Trading Revenue

Before the financial crisis, trading revenue at banks typically ranged from 60 percent to 80 percent of consolidated BHC trading revenue. Since the financial crisis and the adoption of bank charters by the former investment banks, the percentage of bank trading revenue to consolidated BHC trading revenue has fallen and is now typically between 30 percent and 50 percent. This decline reflects the significant amount of trading activity by the former investment banks that, while included in BHC results, remains outside insured commercial banks. More generally, insured U.S. commercial banks and savings associations have more limited legal authorities than their holding companies, particularly in the trading of commodity and equity products.

In the fourth quarter of 2017, banks generated 51.0 percent of consolidated holding company trading revenue, up from 45.8 percent in the previous quarter (see figure 1).





Source: Consolidated Financial Statements for Holding Companies—FR Y-9C (Schedule HI) and call report (Schedule RI)

## Credit Risk

Credit risk is a significant risk in bank derivative trading activities. The notional amount of a derivative contract is a reference amount that determines contractual payments, but it is generally not an amount at risk. The credit risk in a derivative contract is a function of a number of variables, such as whether counterparties exchange notional principal, the volatility of the underlying market factors (interest rate, currency, commodity, equity, or corporate reference entity), the maturity and liquidity of the contract, and the creditworthiness of the counterparty.

Credit risk in derivatives differs from credit risk in loans because of the more uncertain nature of the potential credit exposure. Because the credit exposure is a function of movements in market factors, banks do not know, and can only estimate, how much the value of the derivative contract might be at various points in the future.

The credit exposure is bilateral in most derivative transactions, such as swaps (which make up the bulk of bank derivative contracts). Each party to the contract may (and, if the contract has a long enough tenor, probably will) have a current credit exposure to the other party at various times during the contract's life. With a funded traditional loan, the amount at risk is the amount advanced to the borrower. The credit risk is unilateral as the bank faces the credit exposure of the borrower.

Measuring credit exposure in derivative contracts involves identifying those contracts on which a bank would lose value if the counterparty to a contract defaulted. The total of all contracts with positive value (i.e., derivative receivables) to the bank is the gross positive fair value (GPFV) and represents an initial measurement of credit exposure. The total of all contracts with negative value (i.e., derivative payables) to the bank is the gross negative fair value (GNFV) and represents a measurement of the exposure the bank poses to its counterparties.

GPFV decreased by \$202 billion (9.9 percent) in the fourth quarter of 2017 to \$1.8 trillion, driven by a \$165.8 billion (12.4 percent) decrease in receivables from interest rate contracts (see table 3).

Because banks mostly hedge the market risk of their derivative portfolios with other derivatives, a similar decrease in GNFVs generally matched the change in GPFV. Derivative payables, GNFV, decreased \$196 billion (9.9 percent) to \$1.8 trillion during the quarter, driven by a decrease in payables on interest rate contracts

GPFV and GNFV interest rate contracts declined 40.6 percent and 41.3 percent, respectively year-over-year. Part of the decline is a result of the continued implementation of settled-to-market (STM) treatment for variation margin. STM allows for the characterization of variation margin for OTC derivatives that are cleared through central clearing parties (CCP) to constitute a settlement of the exposure, as opposed to collateralization.

			Q/Q	Q/Q %		Y/Y	Y/Y %
	2017 Q4	2017 Q3	Change	Change	2016 Q4	Change	Change
Interest Rate	\$1,173	\$1,339	-\$166	-12.4%	\$1,976	-\$803	-40.6%
Foreign Exchange	\$441	\$476	-\$35	-7.4%	\$673	-\$233	-34.6%
Equity	\$108	\$105	\$2	2.3%	\$91	\$16	17.8%
Commodities	\$53	\$47	\$6	12.8%	\$48	\$5	10.7%
Credit	\$58.8	\$68.0	-\$9	-13.7%	\$76	-\$17	-22.8%
Gross Positive Fair Value	\$1,833	\$2,035	-\$202.0	-9.9%	\$2,865	-\$1,032	-36.0%

Table 2 Grass B	Positivo Esir Valuar	and Grace Negative E	air Values in Billions	of Dollara
Table 5. Gloss r	OSILIVE Fail Values	s and Gross Negative F	air values, in Dimons	OI DOIIai S

	-		Q/Q	Q/Q %		Y/Y	Y/Y %
	2017 Q4	2017 Q3	Change	Change	2016 Q4	Change	Change
Interest Rate	\$1,124	\$1,287	-\$163	-12.6%	\$1,914	-\$790	-41.3%
Foreign Exchange	\$430	\$462	-\$32	-6.9%	\$663	-\$232	-35.1%
Equity	\$115	\$111	\$4	3.8%	\$94	\$22	23.1%
Commodities	\$54	\$48	\$5	11.2%	\$47	\$7	13.9%
Credit	\$60.0	\$71.0	-\$11	-15.2%	\$75	-\$14	-19.3%
Gross Negative Fair Value	\$1,783	\$1,979	-\$196.0	-9.9%	\$2,791	-\$1,008	-36.1%

Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-L

A legally enforceable netting agreement between a bank and a counterparty creates a single legal obligation for all transactions (called a "netting set") under the agreement. Therefore, when banks have such agreements with their counterparties, contracts with negative values (an amount a bank would pay to its counterparty) can offset contracts with positive values (an amount owed by the counterparty to the bank), leaving an NCCE as shown in table 4.

### **Table 4. Netting Contract Examples**

Bank A Portfolio With Counterparty B	Number of Contracts	Value of Contracts	Credit Measure/Metric
Contracts With Positive Value to Bank A	6	\$500	Gross Positive Fair Value
Contracts With Negative Value to Bank A	4	-\$350	Gross Negative Fair Value
Total Contracts	10	\$150	NCCE to Bank A From Counterparty B

Most, but not necessarily all, derivative transactions that a bank has with an individual counterparty are subject to a legally enforceable netting agreement. Some transactions may be subject to the laws of a jurisdiction that does not provide legal certainty of netting agreements, in which case banks must regard such transactions as separate from the netting set. Other transactions may involve nonstandard contractual documentation. Transactions that are not subject to the same legally enforceable netting agreement have distinct values that cannot be netted and for which the appropriate current credit measure is the gross exposure to the bank, if that amount is positive. While banks can net exposures within a netting set under the same netting agreement, they cannot net exposures across netting sets without a separate legally enforceable netting agreement. As a result, a bank's NCCE to a particular counterparty equals the sum of the GPFV of contracts less the dollar amount of netting benefits with that counterparty. A bank's NCCE across all counterparties equals the sum of its NCCE to each of its counterparties.

NCCE is the primary metric the OCC uses to evaluate credit risk in bank derivative activities. NCCE for insured U.S. commercial banks and saving associations decreased by \$11.2 billion (3.2 percent) to \$337.1 billion in the fourth quarter of 2017 (see table 5).<sup>3</sup> Legally enforceable netting agreements allowed banks to reduce GPFV exposures by 81.6 percent (\$1.5 trillion) in the fourth quarter of 2017, compared with 82.9 percent (\$1.7 trillion) in the previous quarter.

	2017 Q4	2017 Q3	Q/Q Change	Q/Q % Change
Gross Positive Fair Value	\$1,833	\$2,035	-\$202	-9.9%
NCCE RC-R	\$337	\$348	-\$11.2	-3.2%
Netting Benefit RC-R	\$1,495	\$1,686	-\$191	-11.3%
Netting Benefit % RC-R	81.6%	82.9%		-1.3%

Source: Call reports, Schedules RC-L and RC-R

NCCE peaked at \$804.1 billion at the end of 2008, during the financial crisis, when interest rates had plunged and credit spreads were very high (see figure 2). The significant decline in NCCE since 2008 has largely resulted from declines in the GPFV of interest rate and credit contracts. GPFV from interest rate contracts has fallen from \$5.1 trillion at the end of 2008 to \$1.1 trillion at the end of the fourth quarter of 2017. On December 31, 2017, exposure from credit contracts was \$58.8 billion (see table 3), which is \$1.0 trillion lower (94.1 percent) than the \$1.1 trillion on December 31, 2008.





Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-R

The bulk of NCCE in the financial system is concentrated in banks and securities firms (41.7 percent) and in corporations and other counterparties (47.3 percent) (see table 6).

The combined exposure to hedge funds, sovereign governments, and monoline financial firms was small (11.1 percent in total). The sheer size of aggregate counterparty exposures, however,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Banks report NCCE on two different schedules (RC-R and RC-L) of the call report, and the amounts reported are not the same because of differences in the scope of coverage. Neither measure comprehensively captures NCCE. RC-L includes exposure only from OTC derivative transactions; it excludes exchange-traded transactions. RC-R excludes transactions not subject to capital requirements. This report uses RC-R to measure NCCE.

results in the potential for major losses, even in sectors where credit exposure is a small percentage of the total. For example, notwithstanding the minimal share of NCCE to monolines, banks suffered material losses on these exposures during the credit crisis. Sovereign credit exposures were also a small component (7.9 percent) of NCCE during the quarter and, like monoline exposures before the financial crisis, are largely unsecured.

	Banks & Securities Firms	Monoline Financial Firms	Hedge Funds	Sovereign Governments	Corp & All Other Counterparties
2017 Q4	41.7%	0.1%	3.1%	7.9%	47.3%
2017 Q3	40.1%	0.1%	3.2%	7.6%	49.0%
2017 Q2	47.5%	0.1%	2.5%	7.1%	42.8%
2017 Q1	47.5%	0.1%	2.2%	7.5%	42.7%
2016 Q4	48.5%	0.1%	2.0%	6.5%	43.0%
2015 Q4	53.3%	0.1%	2.1%	6.0%	38.5%
2014 Q4	53.2%	0.1%	1.9%	6.4%	38.4%

Table 6. Net Current Credit Exposure by Counterparty Type as a Percentage of Total Net CurrentCredit Exposure

Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-L

A more risk-sensitive measure of credit exposure would consider the value of collateral held against counterparty exposures. Commercial banks and savings associations with total assets greater than \$10 billion report the fair value of collateral held against various classifications of counterparty exposure.

Reporting banks held collateral valued at 111.5 percent of their total NCCE at the end of the fourth quarter of 2017, up from 109.2 percent in the third quarter of 2017 (see table 7). The increase in the ratio of the value of collateral held to the value of counterparty exposure was due primarily to the stronger collateral coverage ratio of exposures to hedge funds, which increased from 461.8 percent to 495.5 percent. Bank exposures to hedge funds have always been secured, because banks take initial margin on transactions with hedge funds, in addition to fully securing any current credit exposure. Collateral coverage of corporate, monoline, and sovereign exposures is much less than coverage of financial institutions and hedge funds, although coverage of corporate exposures has been increasing over the past several years because of increases in the volume of trades cleared at central counterparties.

	FV Banks & Securities Firms	FV Monoline Financial Firms	FV Hedge Funds	FV Sovereign Governments	FV Corp and All Other Counterparties	FV/NCCE%
2017 Q4	124.4%	0.0%	495.5%	25.1%	89.8%	111.5%
2017 Q3	133.6%	0.0%	461.8%	34.1%	77.9%	109.2%
2017 Q2	125.7%	0.0%	522.3%	35.0%	77.5%	108.6%
2017 Q1	122.7%	0.0%	579.9%	34.5%	77.4%	106.7%
2016 Q4	119.0%	0.0%	491.5%	34.2%	67.1%	98.5%
2015 Q4	101.6%	5.2%	435.5%	15.6%	66.2%	89.6%
2014 Q4	94.4%	0.0%	361.5%	11.0%	59.5%	80.6%

## Table 7. Ratio of Fair Value Collateral to Net Current Credit Exposure

Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-L

Collateral quality held by banks was very high and liquid during the quarter, with 63.1 percent held in cash (both U.S. dollar and non-dollar) and an additional 12.2 percent held in U.S.

Treasuries and government agency securities (see table 8). Supervisors assess changes in the quality of collateral held as a key early indicator of potential easing in credit terms. Examiners review the collateral management practices of derivative dealers as a regular part of their supervision activities.

	Cash U.S. Dollar	Cash Other Currencies	U.S. Treasury Securities	U.S. Gov't Agency	Corp Bonds	Equity Securities	All Other Collateral
2017 Q4	37.6%	25.5%	10.3%	1.9%	2.5%	5.7%	16.4%
2017 Q3	38.2%	26.8%	10.1%	2.1%	2.2%	5.8%	14.8%
2017 Q2	40.9%	27.6%	9.5%	2.1%	2.0%	5.3%	12.5%
2017 Q1	42.0%	27.5%	8.3%	1.9%	2.0%	5.5%	12.8%
2016 Q4	40.1%	31.5%	8.1%	1.7%	1.6%	5.0%	12.0%
2015 Q4	43.7%	31.7%	4.6%	1.6%	1.4%	5.3%	11.7%
2014 Q4	43.8%	31.9%	4.1%	1.7%	1.2%	1.7%	15.7%

## Table 8. Composition of Collateral

Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-L

Credit quality metrics for derivative exposures declined slightly in the fourth quarter of 2017, as banks reported net charge-offs of \$10.3 million, compared to net recoveries of \$8.8 million in the third quarter of 2017 (see graph 7 in the appendix). The number of banks reporting charge-offs remained the same at seven banks. Net charge-offs in the fourth quarter of 2017 represented 0.003 percent of the NCCE from derivative contracts. For comparison purposes, commercial and industrial (C&I) loan net charge-offs increased \$499.8 million, or 31.2 percent, to \$2.1 billion during the quarter and were 0.1 percent of total C&I loans. Charge-offs of derivative exposures typically are associated with problem commercial lending exposures, in which the borrower has an associated swap transaction.

## <u>Market Risk</u>

## Value-at-Risk

Banks primarily control market risk in trading operations by establishing limits against potential losses. Banks use VaR to quantify the maximum expected loss over a specified time period and at a certain confidence level under relevant market conditions. Since VaR does not measure the maximum potential loss, banks stress test trading portfolios to assess the potential for loss beyond the VaR measure. Banks and supervisors have been working to expand the use of stress testing to complement the VaR risk measurement process that banks typically use to assess a bank's exposure to market risk.

The large trading banks disclose average VaR data in published financial reports. Comparing the VaR numbers over time to equity capital and net income provides perspective on the market risk of trading activities. As shown in table 9, market risk reported by the five largest banking companies, as measured by VaR, is small as a percentage of their equity capital.

			BANK OF		MORGAN	
	<b>JPMORGAN</b>	CITIGROUP	AMERICA	GOLDMAN	STANLEY	TOTAL
Q4 2017	\$34	\$91	\$41	\$54	\$38	\$258
Q3 2017	\$30	\$89	\$47	\$47	\$43	\$256
Q/Q Change	\$4	\$2	-\$6	\$7	-\$5	\$2
Q/Q % Change	13.3%	2.2%	-12.8%	14.9%	-11.6%	0.8%
Equity Capital	\$255,693	\$201,334	\$267,146	\$82,243	\$77,434	\$883,850
2017 Net Income	\$64,575	\$17,943	\$48,925	\$16,841	\$17,239	\$165,523
Avg VaR/Equity	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Avg VaR/Net Income	0.1%	0.5%	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%

### Table 9. Value-at-Risk at Major Bank Holding Companies, in Millions of Dollars

Source: 10K and 10Q U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission reports

VaR measures are not comparable across firms because of methodological differences in calculating VaR, as well as differences in the scope of coverage. These differences can result in materially different VaR estimates across firms, even for the same portfolios. When assessing trading risk in the banking system, it is therefore appropriate to review the trend in VaR at individual firms, not in aggregate across firms.

Because of methodological differences in calculating VaR, readers are cautioned that a higher VaR figure at a particular bank may not necessarily imply that the bank has more trading risk than another bank with a lower VaR. For example, JPMorgan, Goldman Sachs, and Morgan Stanley calculate VaR using a 95 percent confidence interval. If those firms used a 99 percent confidence interval, as Bank of America and Citigroup do, their VaR estimates would be meaningfully higher. The data series used to measure risk also is an important factor in the calculated risk. VaR for a single portfolio of exposures will differ if the historical period used to measure risk differs. The scope of coverage of the VaR measure is also important when reviewing risks across institutions. Some firms disclose VaR based only on their trading and intermediation activity, while others also include risks from hedging mortgage-servicing assets, fair value option portfolios, and asset and liability management activities. Graph 16 in the appendix illustrates the trend over the past seven years in average VaR at each of the top five large banking companies.

Figure 3 shows the VIX, a volatility index,<sup>4</sup> which measures the market's expectation of stock market volatility in the S&P 500 index over the next 30-day period. The chart illustrates that there has been an extended period of low volatility since the end of the financial crisis.





Source: Bloomberg

## Level 3 Trading Assets

Another measure used to assess market risk is the volume of and changes in level 3 trading assets. Level 3 trading assets are assets whose fair value cannot be determined by using observable inputs, such as market prices. Since the peak of the financial crisis at the end of 2008, major dealers have reduced the volume of level 3 trading assets. Because banks cannot observe inputs into the models that determine the fair value of these illiquid exposures, banks use their own assumptions in determining their fair values. Level 3 assets peaked at \$204.1 billion at the end of 2008 (see figure 4). At the end of the fourth quarter of 2017, banks held \$29.1 billion of level 3 trading assets, up 4.5 percent from the previous quarter, and 14.0 percent lower than a year ago. Level 3 assets are \$175.0 billion (85.7 percent) lower than the peak level from 2008.





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> VIX is the trademarked ticker symbol for the Chicago Board Options Exchange SPX Volatility Index.

## Credit Derivatives

The notional amounts outstanding of credit derivatives decreased \$904.0 billion (17.8 percent), to \$4.2 trillion, in the fourth quarter of 2017. Contracts referencing sub-investment-grade firms decreased \$273.1 billion in the fourth quarter, while contracts referencing investment-grade firms decreased \$631.0 billion. Credit derivatives outstanding remained well below the peak of \$16.4 trillion in the first quarter of 2008 (see graphs 1 and 14 in the appendix). As shown in figure 5, credit default swaps are the dominant product, at \$3.7 trillion (89.4 percent) of all credit derivative notional amounts (see also tables 11 and 12 in the appendix).

Contracts referencing investment-grade entities with maturities from one to five years, which decreased by \$188.6 billion (9.0 percent) in the quarter, represented the largest segment of the market at 45.3 percent of all credit derivative notional amounts. Contracts of all tenors that reference investment-grade entities are 72.1 percent of the market (see chart on right in figure 5 and graph 14 in the appendix).



Figure 5. 2017 Q4 Credit Derivative Composition, in Billions of Dollars



Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-L

The notional amount for the 71 banks that net sold credit protection (i.e., assumed credit risk) was \$2.0 trillion, down \$453.9 billion (18.2 percent) from the third quarter of 2017. The notional amount for the 59 banks that net purchased credit protection (i.e., hedged credit risk) was \$2.1 trillion, \$430.0 billion lower (18.4 percent) than in the third quarter of 2017 (see table 12 in the appendix).

## Notional Amounts

Changes in notional amounts are generally reasonable reflections of business activity and can provide insight into potential revenue and operational issues. The notional amount of derivative contracts, however, does not provide a useful measure of market or credit risk.

The notional amount of derivative contracts held by banks in the fourth quarter decreased by \$16.4 trillion (8.7 percent) to \$172.0 trillion from the previous quarter (see table 10). The decrease in the notional amount of derivative contracts by underlying risk exposure was primarily driven by a \$10.8 trillion decrease in interest rate notional amounts and a \$4.6 trillion decrease in foreign exchange notional amounts. Interest rate notional amounts continued to

represent the majority of banks' derivative holdings at \$130.4 trillion, or 75.8 percent of total derivatives during the fourth quarter of 2017 (see table 10).

The decrease in the notional amount of derivative contracts by contract type was driven by a decrease across all contract types (see table 11). Swap contracts remained the leading derivatives contract type at 55.0 percent of all notional amounts.

The four banks with the most derivative activity hold 89.4 percent of all bank derivatives, while the largest 25 banks account for nearly 100 percent of all contracts (see tables 3 and 5 and graph 4 in the appendix).

## Table 10. Derivative Notional Amounts by Underlying Risk Exposure Quarter-Over-Quarter, in Billions of Dollars

			Q/Q	Q/Q %		Y/Y	Y/Y %
	2017 Q4	2017 Q3	Change	Change	2016 Q4	Change	Change
Interest Rate	\$130,423	\$141,256	-\$10,834	-7.7%	\$124,480	\$5,943	4.8%
Foreign Exchange	\$32,903	\$37,457	-\$4,554	-12.2%	\$31,737	\$1,165	3.7%
Equity	\$3,080	\$3,056	\$24	0.8%	\$2,475	\$604	24.4%
Commodity	\$1,373	\$1,478	-\$105	-7.1%	\$1,257	\$116	9.2%
Credit Derivatives	\$4,186	\$5,090	-\$904	-17.8%	\$5,293	-\$1,107	-20.9%
Total Notional	\$171,964	\$188,337	-\$16,373	-8.7%	\$165,243	\$6,721	4.1%

Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-L

## Table 11. Derivative Notional Amounts by Contract Type Quarter-Over-Quarter, in Billions of Dollars

			Q/Q	Q/Q %		Y/Y	Y/Y %
	2017 Q4	2017 Q3	Change	Change	2016 Q4	Change	Change
Futures & Forwards	\$34,407	\$40,119	-\$5,711	-14.2%	\$34,193	\$214	0.6%
Swaps	\$94,524	\$101,821	-\$7,297	-7.2%	\$96,384	-\$1,860	-1.9%
Options	\$38,847	\$41,307	-\$2,460	-6.0%	\$29,373	\$9,474	32.3%
Credit Derivatives	\$4,186	\$5,090	-\$904	-17.8%	\$5,293	-\$1,107	-20.9%
Total Notional	\$171,964	\$188,337	-\$16,373	-8.7%	\$165,243	\$6,721	4.1%

Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-L

## **Compression Activity**

Notional amounts of banks' derivative contracts have generally declined since 2011 because of trade compression efforts, leading to less need for risk management products. Trade compression continues to be a significant factor in reducing the amount of notional derivatives outstanding. Trade compression aggregates a large number of swap contracts with similar factors, such as risk or cash flows, into fewer trades. Compression removes economic redundancy in a derivative book and reduces operational risk and capital costs for large banks. Trade compression activities increased in the fourth quarter of 2017, as shown in figure 6.





In the first quarter of 2015, banks began reporting their volumes of cleared and non-cleared derivative transactions, as well as risk weights for counterparties in each of these categories. In the fourth quarter of 2017, 38.0 percent of banks' derivative holdings were centrally cleared (see table 12). From a market factor perspective, 47.8 percent of interest rate derivative contracts' notional amounts outstanding were centrally cleared, while very little of the FX derivative market was centrally cleared. The bank-held credit derivative market remained largely uncleared, as 28.0 percent of investment grade and 21.4 percent of non-investment-grade transactions were centrally cleared (see graph 15 in the appendix).

Centrally cleared derivative transactions were heavily concentrated at qualified central counterparties, with 89.7 percent of notional amounts reflecting the 2 percent risk weight applicable to such counterparties.

	Interest	Foreign		Precious			
	Rate	Exchange	Equity	Metals	Credit	Other	Total
2017 Q4	47.8%	1.0%	25.7%	7.8%	25.8%	15.0%	38.0%
2017 Q3	50.2%	1.3%	28.6%	4.3%	26.2%	15.5%	39.6%
2017 Q2	50.8%	1.1%	27.3%	4.9%	23.6%	15.5%	40.3%
2017 Q1	49.8%	1.2%	25.1%	5.0%	22.3%	16.0%	39.2%
2016 Q4	49.2%	1.0%	23.5%	5.1%	20.4%	15.0%	38.8%
2016 Q3	49.2%	0.7%	24.3%	6.4%	21.2%	14.9%	39.0%
2016 Q2	49.1%	0.5%	22.1%	5.5%	18.3%	13.7%	39.1%
2016 Q1	45.4%	0.5%	21.4%	4.4%	19.4%	13.6%	36.5%
2015 Q4	46.2%	0.5%	20.0%	3.7%	16.8%	14.0%	36.9%
2015 Q3	44.7%	0.5%	14.5%	5.0%	20.4%	12.5%	36.0%
2015 Q2	43.1%	0.3%	13.6%	2.6%	19.6%	10.7%	35.0%
2015 Q1	44.7%	0.2%	13.6%	1.6%	19.7%	16.0%	36.5%

Table 12. Centrally Cleared Derivative Contracts as a Percentage of Total Derivative Contracts

Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-R

## **Glossary of Terms**

**Bilateral netting:** A legally enforceable arrangement between a bank and a counterparty that creates a single legal obligation covering all included individual contracts. This arrangement means that a bank's receivables or payables, in the event of the default or insolvency of one of the parties, would be the net sum of all positive and negative fair values of contracts included in the bilateral netting arrangement.

**Centrally cleared derivative contract:** A standardized derivative contract that is transacted bilaterally but submitted for clearing to a central counterparty, with the central counterparty becoming the ultimate counterparty to both the buyer and the seller.

**Credit derivative:** A financial contract that allows a party to take on or reduce credit exposure (generally on a bond, loan, or index). The OCC's derivatives survey includes OTC credit derivatives, such as credit default swaps, total return swaps, and credit spread options.

**Derivative:** A financial contract in which the value is derived from the performance of underlying market factors, such as interest rates, currency exchange rates, and commodity, credit, and equity prices. Derivative transactions include a wide assortment of financial contracts, such as structured debt obligations and deposits, swaps, futures, options, caps, floors, collars, forwards, and various combinations thereof.

**Gross negative fair value (GNFV):** The sum total of the fair values of contracts when the bank owes money to its counterparties, without taking into account netting. This amount represents the maximum losses the bank's counterparties would incur if the bank defaulted and there was no netting of contracts, and the counterparties held no bank collateral. GNFVs associated with credit derivatives are included.

**Gross positive fair value (GPFV):** The sum total of the fair values of contracts when the bank is owed money by its counterparties, without taking into account netting. This amount represents the maximum losses a bank would incur if all its counterparties defaulted and there was no netting of contracts, and the bank held no counterparty collateral. GPFVs associated with credit derivatives are included.

**Net current credit exposure (NCCE):** For a portfolio of derivative contracts, NCCE is the GPFV of contracts less the dollar amount of netting benefits. On any individual contract, current credit exposure (CCE) is the fair value of the contract if positive, and zero when the fair value is negative or zero. NCCE is also the net amount owed to banks if all contracts were immediately liquidated.

**Notional amount:** The nominal or face amount that is used to calculate payments made on swaps and other risk management products. This amount generally does not change hands and is thus referred to as notional.

**OTC derivative contracts:** Privately negotiated derivative contracts that are transacted off of organized exchanges.

**Potential future exposure (PFE):** An estimate of what the CCE could be over time, based on a supervisory formula in the agencies' risk-based capital rules. PFE is generally determined by multiplying the notional amount of the contract by a credit conversion factor that is based on the underlying market factor (e.g., interest rates, commodity prices, or equity prices) and the contract's remaining maturity. The risk-based capital rules, however, permit banks to adjust the

formulaic PFE measure by the net-to-gross ratio, which proxies the risk-reduction benefits attributable to a valid bilateral netting contract. PFE data in this report use the amounts on which banks hold risk-based capital.

**Qualified central counterparty:** Qualified central counterparties are defined in 12 CFR 3.2 as either a CCP that the Financial Stability Oversight Council has designated systemically important under Title VIII of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act or meets a series of standards, see 12 CFR 3.2 for full definition.

Total credit exposure (TCE): The sum total of NCCE and PFE.

**Total risk-based capital:** The sum of tier 1 plus tier 2 capital. Tier 1 capital generally consists of common shareholders' equity, perpetual preferred shareholders' equity with noncumulative dividends, retained earnings, and tier 1 capital of consolidated subsidiaries that is not owned by the bank (minority interest), less regulatory adjustments and deductions. Tier 2 capital generally consists of subordinated debt, intermediate-term preferred stock, cumulative and long-term preferred stock, tier 2 capital of consolidated subsidiaries that is not owned by the bank (minority interest), and a portion of a bank's allowance for loan and lease losses less regulatory adjustments and deductions.

**Trade compression:** A significant factor in reducing the amount of notional derivatives outstanding. Trade compression aggregates a large number of swap contracts with similar factors, such as risk or cash flows, into fewer trades. Compression removes economic redundancy in a derivative book and reduces operational risks and capital costs for large banks.

**Volatility index (VIX):** A measure of the market's expectation of stock market volatility of S&P 500 index options over the next 30-day period.

## Index of Tables and Figures

Table 1. Quarterly Bank Trading Revenue, in Millions of Dollars    4
Table 2. Quarterly Holding Company Trading Revenue, in Millions of Dollars
Figure 1. Bank Trading Revenue as a Percentage of Consolidated Holding Company Trading Revenue
Table 3. Gross Positive Fair Values and Gross Negative Fair Values, in Billions of Dollars
Table 4. Netting Contract Examples    7
Table 5. Net Current Credit Exposure, in Billions of Dollars    8
Figure 2. Net Current Credit Exposure, in Billions of Dollars
Table 6. Net Current Credit Exposure by Counterparty Type as a Percentage of Total Net Current         Credit Exposure         9
Table 7. Fair Value Collateral to Net Current Credit Exposure    9
Table 8. Composition of Collateral
Table 9. Value-at-Risk at Major Bank Holding Companies, in Millions of Dollars       11
Figure 3. Volatility Index (VIX)
Figure 4. Level 3 Trading Assets, in Billions of Dollars
Figure 5. 2017 Q2 Credit Derivative Composition, in Billions of Dollars
Table 10. Derivative Notional Amounts by Underlying Risk Exposure Quarter-Over-Quarter, inBillions of Dollars14
Table 11. Derivative Notional Amounts by Contract Type Quarter-Over-Quarter, in Billions of Dollars         14
Figure 6. Quarterly Compression Activity, in Trillions of Dollars
Table 12. Centrally Cleared Derivative Contracts as a Percentage of Total Derivative Contracts

## Appendix: Supplementary Graphs and Tables

- Graph 1. Derivative Notional Amounts by Type
- Graph 2. Derivative Contracts by Product
- Graph 3. Derivative Contracts by Type
- Graph 4. Four Banks Dominate in Derivatives
- Graph 5. Credit Exposure to Risk-Based Capital (in Percentage)
- Graph 6. Netting Benefit: Amount of Gross Credit Exposure Eliminated Through Bilateral Netting
- Graph 7. Quarterly Charge-Offs/(Recoveries) From Derivatives Bank
- Graph 8. Quarterly Charge-Offs/(Recoveries) From Derivatives Holding Company
- Graph 9a. Quarterly Trading Revenue (Cash and Derivative Positions) Bank
- Graph 9b. Quarterly Trading Revenue (Cash and Derivative Positions) Holding Company
- Graph 10. Quarterly Trading Revenue (Cash and Derivative Positions) as a Percentage of Gross Revenue (in Percentage)
- Graph 11. Notional Amounts of Interest Rate and FX + Gold Contracts by Maturity
- Graph 12. Notional Amounts of Precious Metal Contracts by Maturity
- Graph 13. Notional Amounts of Commodity and Equity Contracts by Maturity
- Graph 14. Notional Amounts of Credit Derivative Contracts by Credit Quality and Maturity
- Graph 15. Notional Amounts of Over-the-Counter and Centrally Cleared Derivative Contracts
- Graph 16. Value-at-Risk (VaR)
- Table 1. Notional Amounts of Derivative Contracts
- Table 2. Notional Amounts of Derivative Contracts (Holding Companies)
- Table 3. Distribution of Derivative Contracts
- Table 4. Credit Equivalent Exposures
- Table 5. Notional Amounts of Derivative Contracts Held for Trading
- Table 6. Gross Fair Values of Derivative Contracts
- Table 7. Trading Revenue From Cash Instruments and Derivatives
- Table 8. Notional Amounts of Derivative Contracts by Contract Type and Maturity (interest rate, FX and gold)
- Table 9. Notional Amounts of Derivative Contracts by Contract Type and Maturity (precious metals)
- Table 10. Notional Amounts of Derivative Contracts by Contract Type and Maturity (other commodity and equity)
- Table 11. Notional Amounts of Credit Derivative Contracts by Contract Type and Maturity (investment grade and sub-investment grade)
- Table 12. Distribution of Credit Derivative Contracts Held for Trading
- Table 13. Derivatives Data Reported By FFIEC 051 Filers

#### Derivative Notional Amounts by Type

Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations



Note: Numbers may not add up to total due to rounding. Total derivative notionals are now reported including credit derivatives, for which regulatory reporting does not differentiate between trading and non-trading. Source: Call reports

#### Derivative Contracts by Product\*

Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations



**Total Swaps** 56,411 64,712 81,340 103,102 143,111 139,138 149,331 146,266 136,608 152,469 **Credit Derivatives** 0 9,020 15,863 16,029 14,112 14,151 14,759 13,190 11,191 0 85,536 **Total Notional** 95,627 131,519 165,559 211,416 214,786 231,099 230,998 221,794 235,992

27,727

29,747

31,884

32,078

32,505

30,375

32,305

33,081

135,169

221,078

9,449

30,889

107,392

180,952

6,986

29,373

96,384

5,293

165,243

33,986

99,183

5,304

178,330

37,736

103,004

185,516

4,935

41,307

101,821

188,337

5,090

38,847

94,524

4,186

171,964

\*Notional amount of total: futures, exchange-traded options, over the counter options, forwards and swaps. Note: Numbers may not add up to total due to rounding.

18,858

26,277

17,754

Source: Call reports

**Total Options** 

#### Derivative Contracts by Type\*

Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations



#### In billions of dollars

	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017			
	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Interest Rate	\$75,533	\$84,530	\$107,435	\$129,491	\$175,895	\$181,454	\$193,399	\$187,866	\$177,650	\$193,084	\$174,687	\$138,363	\$124,480	\$132,690	\$139,817	\$141,256	\$130,423
Foreign Exchange	8,607	9,289	11,900	16,614	16,224	16,555	20,990	25,436	27,587	28,480	33,183	32,100	31,737	36,161	36,521	37,457	32,903
Equities	1,112	1,255	2,271	2,524	2,207	1,685	1,364	1,606	1,970	2,028	2,537	2,395	2,475	2,826	2,908	3,056	3,080
Commodities	284	552	893	1,067	1,061	979	1,195	1,330	1,397	1,209	1,222	1,108	1,257	1,350	1,334	1,478	1,373
Credit Derivatives	0	0	9,020	15,863	16,029	14,112	14,151	14,759	13,190	11,191	9,449	6,986	5,293	5,304	4,935	5,090	4,186
Total Notional	85,536	95,627	131,519	165,559	211,416	214,786	231,099	230,998	221,794	235,992	221,078	180,952	165,243	178,330	185,516	188,337	171,964

Note: As of 2006 Q2 equities and commodities types are shown as separate categories. They were previously shown as "Other Derivs." Numbers any not add up to total due to rounding.

Source: Call reports

Four Banks Dominate in Derivatives\*

Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations



#### In billions of dollars

	Top 4	All Other Banks	Grand Total
Futures & Forwards	\$28,145	\$6,263	\$34,407
Total Swaps	84,598	9,926	94,524
Total Options	37,003	1,844	38,847
Credit Derivatives	4,021	165	4,186
Total Notional	153,766	18,198	171,964

\*Notional amount of total: futures, exchange-traded options, over-the-counter options, forwards, and swaps. Source: Call reports

0

## Credit Exposure to Risk-Based Capital (in Percentage)







Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1	Q2 (	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4 Q	≀1 (	Q2 Q3	5
			2	2013							2014	Ļ					20	)15						20	16						20	17			
	Q	1	Q2	2	Q3		Q4		Q1	C	22	Q	3	Q4		Q1	Q2		Q3	(	Q4	Q	1	Q2		Q3	(	Q4	Q	1	Q2	C	23	Q4	
JPMorgan Chase Bank NA	219	9	216	6	205		183	1	83	18	39	18	1	177		229	228		219	20	09	22	5	221	1	216	1	99	20	1	193	19	)5	179	
Bank of America NA	129	9	125	5	121		117	1	09	10	)7	10	7	93		100	95		91	8	85	8	1	77		68		66	66	6	64	5	59	57	
Citibank NA	16	5	164	1	161		148	1	47	15	56	19	0	173		187	184		181	10	66	180	C	181		188	1	83	186	6	184	17	/1	141	
Goldman Sachs	703	3	693	3	719		741	6	89	62	20	53	9	516		547	563		530	5	16	482	2	467	4	433	4	81	472	2	484	43	33	420	
TOTAL	Q	1	Q2	2	Q3		Q4		Q1	C	22	Q	3	Q4		Q1	Q2		Q3	(	Q4	Q	1	Q2		Q3	(	Q4	Q	1	Q2	C	23	Q4	
	26	1	258	3	262		262	2	48	24	40	22	4	211		238	242		232	22	23	220	6	222	1	217	2	20	220	C	218	20	)5	191	

Note: The methodology to calculate the credit risk exposure to capital ratio for the Top 4 category uses a weighted average of total current credit exposure. Source: Call reports





\*The netting benefit is defined as: \$ amount of netting benefits/gross positive fair value. Source: Call reports, beginning the first quarter of 2015 RC-R; otherwise RC-L

Quarterly Charge-Offs/(Recoveries) From Derivatives - Bank Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations with Derivatives



#### In millions of dollars

		2000	)			2001				2002				2003	3	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Charge-Offs (Banks)	0.0	-1.0	-1.0	-3.0	2.0	-1.0	107.3	370.0	75.8	28.2	59.0	73.7	25.3	29.9	32.3	83.7
		2004	Ļ			2005	;			2006	i			2007	7	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Charge-Offs (Banks)	46.7	34.9	92.2	5.4	1.3	14.2	23.0	8.3	3.6	-7.0	-16.0	-5.8	-3.1	9.1	119.5	30.7
		2008	3			2009	1			2010	I			2011	I	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Charge-Offs (Banks)	15	120	92	847	217	168	221	162	100	173	313	83	1,601	72	91	69
		2012	2			2013	5			2014				2015	5	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Charge-Offs (Banks)	76.35	54.34	26.12	73.44	84.28	60.72	35.77	83.45	12.78	55.90	14.53	7.91	69.31	-7.93	10.44	6.40
		2016	•			2017										
	01	02	03	04	01	02	03	04								

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Charge-Offs (Banks)	13.30	18.56	6.48	-7.84	1.22	8.71	-8.77	10.26

Note: The figures are for each quarter alone, not year-to-date. NCCE: Pre 2009 Q2 (RC-R); 2009 Q2 - 2014 Q4 (RC-L); 2015 Q1 onward (RC-R) Source: Call reports

Quarterly Charge-Offs/(Recoveries) From Derivatives - Holding Company Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations with Derivatives Compared with Holding Companies



#### In millions of dollars

			2000	)				2	001					2002					20	03				
	Q	1	Q2	Q3	Q	4	Q1	Q2		Q3	Q4	Q	1	Q2	Q3	Q	4	Q1	Q2	G	23	Q4		
Charge-Offs (Banks)	0.	0	-1.0	-1.0	-3.	0	2.0	-1.0	10	7.3	370.0	75.8	8 2	28.2	59.0	73.	7 2	25.3	29.9	32	.3	83.7		
Charge-Offs (Holding Companies)	0.	1	-1.0	19.3	-7.	0	2.0	-1.0	10	7.3	369.6	75.8	8 2	21.2	66.0	73.	7 2	25.3	32.9	31	.4 1	27.8		
			2004	Ļ				2	005					2006					20	07				
	G	1	Q2	Q3	Q	4	Q1	Q2		Q3	Q4	Q	1	Q2	Q3	Q	4	Q1	Q2	C	23	Q4		
Charge-Offs (Banks)	46.	7	34.9	92.2	5.	4	1.3	14.2	23	3.0	8.3	3.0	6	-7.0	-16.0	-5.	8	-3.1	9.1	119	.5	30.7		
Charge-Offs (Holding Companies)	51.	2	40.4	94.2	9.	0	54.9	3.6	4	5.1	18.1	35.4	4	5.4	-28.1	-7.	2	-3.1	10.4	119	.4	32.2		
			2008	}				2	009					2010					20	11				
	G	1	Q2	Q3	Q	4	Q1	Q2		Q3	Q4	Q	1	Q2	Q3	Q	4	Q1	Q2	G	23	Q4		
Charge-Offs (Banks)	1	5	120	92	84	7	217	168	2	21	162	100	0	173	313	8	31,	601	72	ç	91	69		
Charge-Offs (Holding Companies)	1	5	120	93	1,19	2 1	,570	477	2	66	164	122	2	288	181	3,59	8 1,	617	68	ç	92	73		
		20	12			201	13			20	14			20	15			201	16			20	17	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	
Charge-Offs (Banks)	76.3	54.3	26.1	73.4	84.3	60.7	35.8	83.5	12.8	55.9	14.5	7.9	69.3	-7.9	10.4	6.4	13.3	18.6	6.5	-7.8	1.2	8.7	-8.8	
Charge-Offs (Holding Companies)	84.6	64.0	34.9	133.4	87.2	62.6	42.9	83.4	13.6	55.6	17.2	9.1	69.0	-10.2	12.9	24.5	12.8	18.0	7.5	-2.5	1.4	8.9	-8.3	

#### Graph 9a

Quarterly Trading Revenue (Cash and Derivative Positions)\* - Bank Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations



Min
Since 2000
-1,547
681
668
161
-237

5,037

4,947

6,068

7,129

-10,580

4,194

10,217

4,273

#### In millions of dollars

		20	12			20	13			20	14			20	15			20	16			20	17	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Interest Rate	5,627	2,870	4,457	4,521	2,243	2,268	3,002	360	2,015	2,883	-819	664	958	3,406	2,578	154	3,023	1,973	2,920	-1,547	3,865	4,520	2,918	2,238
Foreign Exchange	1,505	1,990	1,020	753	3,185	3,303	588	1,550	2,137	2,026	4,830	2,902	4,703	855	1,931	3,401	1,424	3,719	2,294	5,941	1,685	681	1,540	1,796
Equity	260	1,140	508	187	838	924	233	491	612	726	654	650	797	587	49	742	668	867	734	681	922	1,122	1,183	990
Commodity & Other	412	390	350	30	364	292	481	265	672	293	411	335	587	129	402	198	271	161	353	296	328	206	284	251
Credit	-1,444	-4,243	-1,242	-713	890	339	222	245	756	500	535	-79	624	530	357	-222	263	342	118	634	330	108	470	-237
Total Trading Revenue	6,359	2,147	5,093	4,778	7,520	7,125	4,527	2,911	6,192	6,428	5,612	4,471	7,669	5,507	5,316	4,273	5,650	7,062	6,420	6,006	7,129	6,637	6,396	5,037

**Total Trading Revenue** 

\*The trading revenue figures are for cash and derivative activities. Revenue figures are for each quarter alone, not year-to-date. Note: Numbers may not add up to total due to rounding. Source: Call reports

#### Graph 9b

Quarterly Trading Revenue (Cash and Derivative Positions)\* Holding Company



Interest Rate
 Foreign Exchange
 Equity
 Commodity & Other
 Credit
 Total Trading Revenue

#### In millions of dollars

		201	13			<b>20</b> 1	4			201	5			201	16			201	17	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Interest Rate	4,272	823	3,811	-94	3,395	3,645	353	-1,396	1,893	5,662	2,403	-243	3,808	2,965	5,359	-1,193	6,013	5,884	4,780	4,022
Foreign Exchange	4,414	4,890	320	2,205	2,472	2,521	5,985	4,243	6,329	552	1,393	4,338	2,025	4,318	2,899	8,007	2,440	721	1,766	2,584
Equity	4,960	3,936	4,561	3,484	3,682	4,296	2,938	2,947	6,022	4,481	3,196	3,696	3,441	3,612	4,159	3,021	4,902	5,682	4,705	3,096
Commodity & Other	1,324	1,746	1,347	1,052	2,617	924	1,242	954	1,833	871	2,146	412	738	1,491	969	1,003	399	1,330	784	523
Credit	5,292	2,761	855	1,949	4,718	3,292	1,687	14	2,603	1,294	452	-317	1,880	2,940	1,482	742	3,274	1,493	1,917	-356
Total Trading Revenue	20,262	14,156	10,893	8,595	16,885	14,679	12,205	6,762	18,680	12,860	9,590	7,887	11,892	15,327	14,868	11,579	17,028	15,111	13,953	9,870

\*The trading revenue figures are for cash and derivative activities. Revenue figures are for each quarter alone, not year-to-date. Note: Numbers may not add up to total due to rounding.

Source: Y9

## Quarterly Trading Revenue (Cash and Derivative Positions) as a Percentage of Gross Revenue (in Percentage) Top 4 Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations by Derivative Holdings









#### Trading Revenue to Gross Revenue (in percentage)\*

indding noronao to oroc		1010 ( P		-ge/																
		201	3			201	4			201	5			201	16			201	7	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
JPMorgan Chase Bank NA	18.65	18.73	10.67	1.24	12.63	13.31	13.47	6.97	17.73	13.25	12.65	7.03	12.26	13.34	13.83	11.17	13.84	12.16	11.64	7.94
Bank of America NA	3.39	-5.97	2.14	-1.58	7.80	9.11	5.11	3.68	6.78	0.49	5.19	1.72	3.90	6.87	4.18	3.28	4.70	4.49	4.09	3.87
Citibank NA	7.45	11.71	6.39	7.20	8.51	7.43	5.48	4.78	9.17	8.41	6.54	6.30	7.19	9.41	6.47	10.97	10.47	8.50	9.12	6.94
Goldman Sachs	32.65	37.30	11.54	24.45	23.67	22.21	13.74	13.06	15.85	17.32	13.32	6.16	23.78	9.54	12.16	7.22	17.71	15.66	7.10	2.15
TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
	10.42	9.56	6.72	2.77	10.06	10.45	8.53	5.35	11.68	7.62	8.41	5.03	8.45	10.14	8.51	8.51	10.10	8.74	8.47	6.20

\*The trading revenue figures are for cash and derivative activities. Revenue figures are quarterly, not year-to-date numbers. Note: Gross revenue equals interest income plus non-interest income.

Source: Call reports

Notional Amounts of Interest Rate and FX + Gold Contracts by Maturity Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations

#### Interest Rate



FX & Gold



FX&GOLD: < 1 yr FX&GOLD: 1-5 yr FX&GOLD: > 5 yrs

In billions of dollars

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		20	17	
	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4															
IR: < 1 yr	\$10,379	\$12,982	\$13,581	\$15,921	\$18,483	\$29,552	\$39,085	\$58,618	\$81,236	\$90,843	\$87,812	\$82,948	\$77,758	\$71,808	\$55,047	\$55,053	\$61,923	\$65,969	\$72,155	\$72,589
IR: 1-5 yr	11,709	14,328	20,404	25,893	27,683	31,386	37,222	47,456	33,970	33,497	32,750	30,191	44,157	33,727	49,406	43,262	46,450	48,374	43,431	36,154
IR: > 5 yrs	7,451	9,735	13,117	16,492	19,825	23,273	27,724	36,868	26,374	24,307	24,168	21,175	24,630	22,214	32,981	29,762	29,972	29,633	27,040	23,565
FX&GOLD: < 1 yr	3,816	4,078	4,510	5,384	5,728	7,730	11,660	10,640	10,490	14,629	17,632	18,386	18,372	22,145	24,130	23,911	27,320	27,411	28,416	24,380
FX&GOLD: 1-5 yr	686	857	1,146	1,317	1,381	1,452	1,639	2,195	2,473	2,462	3,117	2,910	2,341	2,587	3,986	4,453	4,772	4,813	4,987	4,805
FX&GOLD: > 5 yrs	499	439	582	762	689	594	622	1,082	1,347	1,290	1,503	1,480	1,029	969	1,648	2,420	2,429	2,496	2,544	2,525

Note: Figures above exclude FX contracts with an original maturity of 14 days or less, written options, basis swaps, and any other contracts not subject to risk-based capital requirements. Effective Q1 2015, the reporting form and call report instructions changed. Schedule RC-R now requires banks to report gold and FX notionals in aggregate, rather than separately. Source: Call reports

Notional Amounts of Precious Metal Contracts by Maturity Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations

#### **Precious Metals**

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		20	17	
\$45 -																				
\$40-																				
\$35 -																				
\$30-																				
suoillia illia il																				
.⊑ \$20 –																				
\$15 -																				
\$10-																				
\$5-																				
\$0																				
	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
					Pr	ecious Me	tals: < 1 yr		Preciou	s Metals: 1	-5 yr	Pre	ecious Met	als: > 5 yrs	i					
In billion	s of dolla	rs																		

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		201	7	
	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Precious Metals: < 1 yr	2.44	2.72	3.87	4.04	8.59	10.35	10.72	7.55	11.55	17.47	21.12	27.68	21.41	19.29	23.51	26.87	40.42	40.14	42.21	28.62
Precious Metals: 1-5 yr	0.23	0.46	0.33	0.51	1.29	1.75	2.10	1.51	1.24	1.89	4.74	5.82	3.80	2.84	3.92	3.27	3.13	2.24	2.56	2.38
Precious Metals: > 5 yrs	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.33	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.10	0.03	0.00	0.29	0.07	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.01

Note: Figures exclude FX contracts with an original maturity of 14 days or less, written options, basis swaps, and any other contracts not subject to risk-based capital requirements. Source: Call reports

Notional Amounts of Commodity and Equity Contracts by Maturity Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations





In billions of dollars																				
	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		201	7	
	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Commodity: < 1 yr	\$31	\$55	\$43	\$64	\$133	\$185	\$206	\$179	\$176	\$203	\$261	\$261	\$235	\$257	\$668	\$750	\$824	\$819	\$883	\$883
Commodity: 1-5 yr	25	35	103	205	707	235	297	233	198	209	209	208	144	164	197	179	160	175	233	202
Commodity: > 5yrs	2	9	14	40	175	20	25	43	33	25	46	28	6	20	22	23	20	21	23	25
Equity: < 1 yr	121	127	197	273	321	341	473	409	312	296	427	627	645	996	1,743	1,842	2,198	2,236	2,160	2,296
Equity: 1-5 yr	209	249	674	736	1,428	221	297	256	228	191	210	262	291	352	628	677	760	720	781	733
Equity: > 5 yrs	18	25	84	140	383	45	70	72	82	85	94	82	136	101	130	123	85	127	119	113

Note: Figures above exclude foreign exchange contracts with an original maturity of 14 days or less, written options, basis swaps, and any other contracts not subject to risk-based capital requirements. Source: Call reports

#### Notional Amounts of Credit Derivative Contracts by Credit Quality and Maturity Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations



#### In billions of dollars

		20	13			20	14			20	15			20	16			20	17	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4																
Investment-Grade: < 1yr	\$1,790	\$1,550	\$1,548	\$1,384	\$1,414	\$1,707	\$1,478	\$1,375	\$1,256	\$1,292	\$1,270	\$1,380	\$1,471	\$1,549	\$1,451	\$1,348	\$1,343	\$1,234	\$1,216	\$934
Investment-Grade: 1-5 yrs	6,168	6,536	6,127	5,661	6,227	5,909	5,722	5,007	4,649	4,450	4,108	3,328	3,400	3,101	2,765	2,170	2,072	2,073	2,085	1,897
Investment-Grade: > 5 yrs	948	455	552	409	577	448	433	382	508	359	520	281	457	262	385	214	309	195	345	186
Total Investment Grade	\$8,906	\$8,541	\$8,228	\$7,455	\$8,218	\$8,064	\$7,633	\$6,764	\$6,413	\$6,101	\$5,898	\$4,990	\$5,328	\$4,911	\$4,601	\$3,732	\$3,724	\$3,502	\$3,647	\$3,016

		20	13			20 <sup>-</sup>	14			20 <sup>-</sup>	15			20	16			20	17	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Sub-Investment-Grade: < 1yr	\$1,090	\$933	\$879	\$765	\$619	\$642	\$671	\$658	\$596	\$562	\$569	\$607	\$622	\$683	\$683	\$581	\$582	\$509	\$480	\$375
Sub-Investment-Grade: 1-5 yrs	3,491	3,656	3,424	2,792	2,127	1,960	1,948	1,887	1,813	1,673	1,518	1,271	1,313	1,159	1,122	869	838	830	814	718
Sub-Investment-Grade: > 5 yrs	414	197	262	179	200	160	157	140	194	152	213	119	155	101	157	111	159	93	149	77
Total Sub-Investment Grade	\$4,995	\$4,786	\$4,565	\$3,736	\$2,946	\$2,763	\$2,775	\$2,685	\$2,604	\$2,387	\$2,299	\$1,997	\$2,090	\$1,943	\$1,962	\$1,561	\$1,579	\$1,432	\$1,443	\$1,170

Note: Figures exclude FX contracts with an original maturity of 14 days or less, written options, basis swaps, and any other contracts not subject to risk-based capital requirements. Source: Call reports

2017 Q4 Notional Amounts of Over-the-Counter and Centrally Cleared Derivative Contracts Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations

	Interest Rate	Foreign Exchange	Equity	Precious Metals	Cr	edit	Other		
					Investment Grade	Non-Investment Grade		ALL BANKS	
\$40,000 -								\$100,000 -	
suoillig <u>r</u> \$20,000 -	11					entrally Cleared over-the-Counter		\$50,000 -	
\$0	BAC C GS HSBC MS MS WFC	BAC C GS HSBC MS MS WFC	BAC C GS HSBC MS MS WFC	BAC C GS HSBC MS MS WFC	BAC C GS HSBC MS MS WFC	BAC C GS HSBC MS MS WFC	BAC C GS JPM MS WFC	\$0	Over-the- Counter Cleared

In billions of doll	ars
---------------------	-----

	Interes	at Rate	Foreign I	Exchange	Equ	iity	Preciou	s Metals	Investme	Cre nt Grade	edit Non-Inve Gra		Otl	ner	Total Centrally Cleared	Over- the- Counter	Total Notional	
Bank Name	Centrally Cleared	Over-the- Counter		Over-the- Counter	Centrally Cleared	Over-the- Counter												
JPM	20,054	26,614	136	10,940	627	1,489	0	15	308	548	176	507	85	766	21,386	40,879	62,264	
С	19,400	9,494	116	9,554	59	383	2	7	242	1,008	69	335	55	86	19,944	20,868	40,812	
BAC	9,669	4,112	40	4,235	94	272	0	0	219	310	51	149	0	13	10,073	9,093	19,166	
GS	5,547	25,317	0	2,284	0	30	0	0	0	90	0	54	0	7	5,547 2,738	27,782	33,329	
HSBC	2,713	566	21	948	0	43	0	6	2	10	2	30	0	1	4,465	1,604 2,413	4,342	
WFC	4,409	1,861	0	425	29	88	0	1	1	1	2	14	25	24	4,405	2,413	162	
MS	0	1	0	151	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	2	0	0	64,153	102,801	166,954	
Grand Total	61,792	67,966	313	28,538	809	2,305	2	29	771	1,975	300	1,092	165	897	04,133	102,001	100,95	
ľ			0	0.050		20	0	0		_	0	10						
	1,440	1,109	0	2,859	0	28	0	0	0	7	0	13	1	47	1,441	4,063	5,50	
1	1,440	1,109	0	2,859	U	28	0	0	0	1	0	13	1	47	1,441	4,063	5,50	

															Tota	l Total
	Interest Rate F		Interest Rate Foreign Exchange			iity	Preciou	s Metals		Cre	edit		Ot	her	Centrally	Over-the-
									Investme	ent Grade		estment ade			Cleared as a % o Tota	f as a % of
Bank Name	Centrally	Over-the-	Centrally	Over-the-	Centrally	Over-the-	Centrally	Over-the-	Centrally	Over-the-	Centrally	Over-the-	Centrally	Over-the-	Notiona	
Dalik Name	Cleared	Counter	Cleared	Counter	Cleared	Counter	Cleared	Counter	Cleared	Counter	Cleared	Counter	Cleared	Counter	Notiona	Notional
JPM	43%	57%	1%	99%	30%	70%	0%	100%	36%	64%	26%	74%	10%	90%	34%	66%
С	67%	33%	1%	99%	13%	87%	27%	73%	19%	81%	17%	83%	39%	61%	49%	51%
BAC	70%	30%	1%	99%	26%	74%	0%	100%	41%	59%	25%	75%	0%	100%	53%	47%
GS	18%	82%	0%	100%	0%	100%			0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%	17%	83%
HSBC	83%	17%	2%	98%	0%	100%	0%	100%	19%	81%	6%	94%	0%	100%	63%	
WFC	70%	30%	0%	100%	25%	75%	0%	100%	49%	51%	14%	86%	51%	49%	65%	
MS	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%			0%	100%	0%	100%			0%	

Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-R

Graph 16

Value-at-Risk (VaR) Insured U.S. Commercial Banks and Savings Associations



In millions of dollars																												
	2011			2012			2013			2014				2015					201	6		2017						
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Bank of America	\$184	\$229	\$164	\$90	\$84	\$63	\$55	\$100	\$91	\$69	\$54	\$91	\$84	\$59	\$56	\$61	\$71	\$61	\$60	\$52	\$50	\$54	\$47	\$42	\$44	\$48	\$47	\$41
Citigroup	179	168	207	202	178	149	129	137	110	120	117	139	156	135	121	120	131	113	116	108	108	88	85	86	100	96	89	91
Goldman	113	101	102	136	95	92	81	76	76	81	84	79	82	77	68	61	81	77	74	72	72	62	57	61	64	51	47	54
JPMorgan	88	94	108	114	170	201	115	122	73	45	47	43	42	55	36	39	43	42	54	47	54	45	43	40	25	27	30	34
Morgan Stanley	121	145	130	120	84	76	63	78	72	61	52	51	50	48	42	48	47	54	53	50	46	46	42	39	44	51	43	38
Total	685	737	711	662	611	581	443	513	422	376	354	403	414	374	323	329	373	347	357	329	330	295	274	268	277	273	256	258
### NOTIONAL AMOUNTS OF DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS TOP 25 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAVINGS ASSOCIATIONS AND TRUST COMPANIES IN DERIVATIVES DECEMBER 31, 2017, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS

		LEGAL			TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL CREDIT	
RANK	BANK NAME	ENTITY INDENTIFIER	TOTAL ASSETS	TOTAL DERIVATIVES	FUTURES (EXCH TR)	OPTIONS (EXCH TR)	FORWARDS (OTC)	SWAPS (OTC)	OPTIONS (OTC)	DERIVATIVES (OTC)	SPOT FX
1	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA	7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97	\$2.140.778	\$48.551.629	\$1.883.777	\$1.946.041	\$8,387,707	\$26.361.582	\$8,474,043	\$1,498,479	\$457.280
2	CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN	E570DZWZ7FF32TWEFA76	1.385.697	45,819,592	3.196.509	1.283.558	4,715,164	26.675.394	8,284,399	1,664,568	544,557
3	GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA	KD3XUN7C6T14HNAYLU02	164,539	41,309,001	2,285,987	6,844,552	2,204,932	21,234,082	8,591,094	148,354	43,957
4	BANK OF AMERICA NA	B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27	1,751,524	18,085,933	1.071.442	125,069	4,399,063	10,326,947	1,453,928	709,484	315,390
5	WELLS FARGO BANK NA	KB1H1DSPRFMYMCUFXT09	1,747,354	7,466,594	166,138	198,619	1,954,899	4,147,214	972,188	27,536	5,994
6	HSBC NA	1IE8VN30JCEQV1H4R804	180,372	4,765,005	238,410	1,726	841,235	3,305,779	287,565	90,290	19,401
7	STATE STREET BANK&TRUST CO	571474TGEMMWANRLN572	235,022	1,694,126	2,335	0	1,659,623	4,864	27,305	0	47,526
8	BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON	HPFHU0OQ28E4N0NFVK49	297,305	1,018,299	26,130	63	562,263	403,947	25,716	180	68,980
9	PNC BANK NATIONAL ASSN	AD6GFRVSDT01YPT1CS68	370,002	421,086	50,772	0	25,693	312,827	25,478	6,316	804
10	U S BANK NATIONAL ASSN	6BYL5QZYBDK8S7L73M02	456,026	352,485	18,113	900	58,200	198,602	71,334	5,337	758
11	NORTHERN TRUST CO	6PTKHDJ8HDUF78PFWH30	138,163	329,245	0	0	314,401	13,796	1,049	0	9,122
12	SUNTRUST BANK	IYDOJBGJWY9T8XKCSX06	201,638	252,762	27,746	14,060	17,134	138,827	51,025	3,970	145
13	MORGAN STANLEY BANK NA	G1MLHIS0N32I3QPILB75	129,707	219,762	0	0	54,640	130,447	24,347	10,328	2,230
14	TD BANK NATIONAL ASSN	03D0JEWFDFUS0SEEKG89	288,294	183,151	0	0	3,431	178,829	525	365	0
15	CAPITAL ONE NATIONAL ASSN	207ALC1P1YM0OVDV0K75	290,651	133,887	221	0	1,763	128,382	479	3,042	14
16	MUFG UNION BANK NA	OX3PU53ZLPQKJ4700D47	118,537	126,948	2,484	0	49,698	69,388	5,301	76	566
17	CITIZENS BANK NATIONAL ASSN	DRMSV1Q0EKMEXLAU1P80	121,996	95,517	0	0	4,607	79,415	9,010	2,484	106
18	KEYBANK NATIONAL ASSN	HUX2X73FUCYHUVH1BK78	135,758	95,052	4,493	0	7,805	76,572	5,852	330	521
19	REGIONS BANK	EQTWLK1G7ODGC2MGLV11	123,325	83,549	3,479	30	18,464	53,312	5,071	3,193	13
20	FIFTH THIRD BANK	QFROUN1UWUYU0DVIWD51	140,078	80,997	952	354	5,434	56,857	13,974	3,426	395
21	BRANCH BANKING&TRUST CO	JJKC32MCHWDI71265Z06	216,077	63,147	194	0	6,689	45,617	10,647	0	26
22	CAPITAL ONE BANK USA NA	LKE37K2B8CFZUR7F9816	119,556	47,934	0	0	9,094	38,840	0	0	74
23	MANUFACTURERS&TRADERS TR CO	WWB2V0FCW3A0EE3ZJN75	118,072	47,513	0	0	1,808	29,816	15,889	0	76
24	COMPASS BANK	C90VT034M03BN29IRA40	86,505	46,003	1,449	0	918	33,689	9,885	61	117
25	BOKF NATIONAL ASSN	FU7RSW4CQQY98A2O7J66	32,217	40,672	635	407	34,301	3,818	1,511	1	7
TOP 25 (	COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH DERIVA	TIVES	\$10,989,193	\$171,329,889	\$8,981,265	\$10,415,378	\$25,338,966	\$94,048,843	\$28,367,614	\$4,177,822	\$1,518,058
	COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS WITH DERIVA		4.617.116	634,292	6,094	4,347	\$23,338,900	475.018	\$20,307,014 59,650	\$4,177,822	1,297
	OMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TOS WITH DERIVA		15.606.309	171.964.181	8.987.359	10.419.725	25,419,849	94.523.862	28.427.264	4,186,122	1,519,355
	Shine Ron 2 Drivito, ono di 105 WITH DERIVA		.0,000,007	171,704,101	0,707,007	.0,117,723	20,717,077	71,020,002	20,727,207	7,100,122	1,017,000

Note: Credit derivatives have been included in the sum of total derivatives. Credit derivatives have been included as an "over the counter" category, although the call report does not differentiate by market currently.

Note: Before the first quarter of 1995 total derivatives included spot FX. Beginning in that quarter, spot FX has been reported separately.

Note: Numbers may not add up to total due to rounding.

Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-L

### NOTIONAL AMOUNTS OF DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS (HOLDING COMPANIES) TOP 25 HOLDING COMPANIES IN DERIVATIVES DECEMBER 31, 2017, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS

		LEGAL								CREDIT	
		ENTITY	TOTAL	TOTAL	FUTURES	OPTIONS	FORWARDS	SWAPS	OPTIONS	DERIVATIVES	SPOT
RANK	HOLDING COMPANY	INDENTIFIER	ASSETS	DERIVATIVES	(EXCH TR)	(EXCH TR)	(OTC)	(OTC)	(OTC)	(OTC)	FX
1	GOLDMAN SACHS GROUP, INC., THE	784F5XWPLTWKTBV3E584	\$916,787	\$48,584,634	\$3,334,063	\$7,781,413	\$6,276,973	\$19,834,013	\$10,103,782	\$1,254,390	\$290,467
2	JPMORGAN CHASE & CO.	8I5DZWZKVSZI1NUHU748	2,533,600	47,938,966	1,897,487	2,139,059	8,740,565	25,478,518	8,161,163	1,522,174	446,187
3	CITIGROUP INC.	6SHG14ZSSLCXXQSBB395	1,843,059	47,620,742	3,287,078	4,304,434	5,598,944	24,855,390	8,062,041	1,512,855	536,826
4	MORGAN STANLEY	IGJSJL3JD5P30I6NJZ34	851,733	31,526,333	3,194,820	1,540,348	2,655,059	16,766,990	6,765,864	603,252	31,085
5	BANK OF AMERICA CORPORATION	9DJT3UXIJIZJI4WXO774	2,281,477	30,402,923	1,705,892	785,467	6,057,058	17,739,247	3,086,795	1,028,464	266,803
5	WELLS FARGO & COMPANY	PBLD0EJDB5FWOLXP3B76	1,951,757	7,582,943	178,525	220,719	2,200,235	3,988,321	968,605	26,538	5,990
7	HSBC NORTH AMERICA HOLDINGS INC.	213800JCL1FHBQK3M654	273,486	6,924,865	448,000	276,901	841,385	4,972,466	295,822	90,290	19,401
3	MIZUHO AMERICAS LLC		39,937	5,354,101	10,778	2,234	393,285	4,909,520	37,279	1,005	1,905
9	STATE STREET CORPORATION	549300ZFEEJ2IP5VME73	238,496	1,702,222	2,508	0	1,660,052	12,357	27,305	0	47,526
10	RBC USA HOLDCO CORPORATION		141,975	1,203,645	172,899	743,564	200,223	86,185	399	375	141
11	CREDIT SUISSE HOLDINGS (USA), INC.	549300YHT5NGRKJD1R94	141,413	1,130,949	9,819	12,503	960,231	73,486	14,435	60,474	0
12	BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON CORPORATION, THE	WFLLPEPC7FZXENRZV188	371,758	1,013,611	27,426	2,353	579,287	378,649	25,716	180	68,989
13	BARCLAYS US LLC	213800H14XVWOV870I72	157,927	545,535	54,975	240,157	148,412	27,702	0	74,289	13
14	PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC., THE	CFGNEKW0P8842LEUIA51	381,451	417,836	50,947	35	27,833	307,282	25,423	6,316	804
15	U.S. BANCORP	N1GZ7BBF3NP8GI976H15	462,040	354,158	18,113	900	57,019	201,454	71,334	5,338	758
16	NORTHERN TRUST CORPORATION	549300GLF98S992BC502	138,590	328,495	0	0	314,401	13,046	1,049	0	9,122
17	TD GROUP US HOLDINGS LLC	549300ARWZ5E3L64UH29	380,907	254,363	47,215	4,000	14,401	187,824	525	397	0
18	SUNTRUST BANKS, INC.	7E1PDLW1JL6TS0BS1G03	206,634	249,705	27,746	14,060	17,134	136,771	50,025	3,970	145
19	DB USA CORPORATION	529900RO45LRDMWLR157	148,248	240,104	7,353	168,273	44,829	16,382	395	2,872	0
20	BNP PARIBAS USA, INC.	549300QVEGJN81E8T563	139,136	210,951	2	2,335	181,311	24,678	2,624	0	18
21	CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORPORATION	ZUE8T73ROZOF6FLBAR73	365,693	196,525	221	0	11,009	181,773	479	3,042	88
22	MUFG AMERICAS HOLDINGS CORPORATION		154,557	150,288	12,846	279	62,199	69,587	5,301	76	566
23	CITIZENS FINANCIAL GROUP, INC.	2138004JDDA4ZQUPFW65	152,710	107,357	0	0	4,607	89,727	10,068	2,954	106
24	KEYCORP	RKPI3RZGV1V1FJTH5T61	138,064	100,193	4,493	0	9,273	79,209	6,903	315	521
25	FIFTH THIRD BANCORP	THRNG6BD57P9QWTQLG42	142,193	82,190	952	354	5,433	58,051	13,974	3,426	395
	HOLDING COMPANIES WITH DERIVATIVES		\$14,553,629	\$234,223,633	\$14,494,158	\$18,239,387	\$37.061.160	\$120,488,628	\$37,737,306	\$4 202 005	\$1,727,855

Note: Currently, the Y-9 report does not differentiate credit derivatives by contract type. Credit derivatives have been included in the sum of total derivatives. Note: Before to the first quarter of 2005, total derivatives included spot FX. Beginning in that quarter, spot FX has been reported separately. Note: Numbers may not add up to total due to rounding.

Source: Consolidated Financial Statements for Bank Holding Companies, FR Y- 9, Schedule HC-L

#### DISTRIBUTION OF DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS TOP 25 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAVINGS ASSOCIATIONS AND TRUST COMPANIES IN DERIVATIVES DECEMBER 31, 2017, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS

		LEGAL			PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT	PERCENT
		ENTITY	TOTAL	TOTAL	EXCH TRADED	отс	INT RATE	FOREIGN EXCH	OTHER	CREDIT
RANK	BANK NAME	INDENTIFIER	ASSETS	DERIVATIVES	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS	CONTRACTS	DERIVATIVES
					(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
1	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA	7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97	\$2,140,778	\$48,551,629	7.9	92.1	68.9	22.8	5.2	3.1
2	CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN	E57ODZWZ7FF32TWEFA76	1,385,697	45,819,592	9.8	90.2	70.7	23.5	2.2	3.6
3	GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA	KD3XUN7C6T14HNAYLU02	164,539	41,309,001	22.1	77.9	93.8	5.7	0.1	0.4
4	BANK OF AMERICA NA	B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27	1,751,524	18,085,933	6.6	93.4	70.4	23.6	2.0	3.9
5	WELLS FARGO BANK NA	KB1H1DSPRFMYMCUFXT09	1,747,354	7,466,594	4.9	95.1	90.3	5.9	3.5	0.4
6	HSBC NA	1IE8VN30JCEQV1H4R804	180,372	4,765,005	5.0	95.0	75.4	20.5	2.2	1.9
7	STATE STREET BANK&TRUST CO	571474TGEMMWANRLN572	235,022	1,694,126	0.1	99.9	0.3	98.1	1.6	0.0
8	BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON	HPFHU0OQ28E4N0NFVK49	297,305	1,018,299	2.6	97.4	30.3	69.6	0.1	0.0
9	PNC BANK NATIONAL ASSN	AD6GFRVSDT01YPT1CS68	370,002	421,086	12.1	87.9	91.7	4.9	1.9	1.5
10	U S BANK NATIONAL ASSN	6BYL5QZYBDK8S7L73M02	456,026	352,485	5.4	94.6	81.9	16.2	0.4	1.5
11	NORTHERN TRUST CO	6PTKHDJ8HDUF78PFWH30	138,163	329,245	0.0	100.0	4.1	95.8	0.1	0.0
12	SUNTRUST BANK	IYDOJBGJWY9T8XKCSX06	201,638	252,762	16.5	83.5	79.7	2.8	16.0	1.6
13	MORGAN STANLEY BANK NA	G1MLHIS0N32I3QPILB75	129,707	219,762	0.0	100.0	1.8	93.4	0.1	4.7
14	TD BANK NATIONAL ASSN	03D0JEWFDFUS0SEEKG89	288,294	183,151	0.0	100.0	96.3	3.5	0.0	0.2
15	CAPITAL ONE NATIONAL ASSN	207ALC1P1YM0OVDV0K75	290,651	133,887	0.2	99.8	91.0	0.8	5.9	2.3
16	MUFG UNION BANK NA	OX3PU53ZLPQKJ4700D47	118,537	126,948	2.0	98.0	92.8	5.1	2.0	0.1
17	CITIZENS BANK NATIONAL ASSN	DRMSV1Q0EKMEXLAU1P80	121,996	95,517	0.0	100.0	87.1	10.3	0.0	2.6
18	KEYBANK NATIONAL ASSN	HUX2X73FUCYHUVH1BK78	135,758	95,052	4.7	95.3	89.9	9.1	0.7	0.3
19	REGIONS BANK	EQTWLK1G7ODGC2MGLV11	123,325	83,549	4.2	95.8	93.2	2.0	1.0	3.8
20	FIFTH THIRD BANK	QFROUN1UWUYU0DVIWD51	140,078	80,997	1.6	98.4	73.0	15.3	7.5	4.2
21	BRANCH BANKING&TRUST CO	JJKC32MCHWDI71265Z06	216,077	63,147	0.3	99.7	99.3	0.7	0.0	0.0
22	CAPITAL ONE BANK USA NA	LKE37K2B8CFZUR7F9816	119,556	47,934	0.0	100.0	81.0	19.0	0.0	0.0
23	MANUFACTURERS&TRADERS TR CO	WWB2V0FCW3A0EE3ZJN75	118,072	47,513	0.0	100.0	99.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
24	COMPASS BANK	C90VT034M03BN29IRA40	86,505	46,003	3.1	96.9	94.7	1.8	3.3	0.1
25	BOKF NATIONAL ASSN	FU7RSW4CQQY98A2O7J66	32,217	40,672	2.6	97.4	92.8	0.6	6.5	0.0
	COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH DERIVATIVES		\$10,989,193	\$171,329,889	\$19,396,643	\$151,933,246	\$129,836,423	\$32,874,764	\$62	\$4,177,822
	COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH DERIVATIVES		4,617,116	634,292	10,441	623,850	586,351	28,024	668	8,300
TOTAL F	OR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS WITH DERIVATIVES		15,606,309	171,964,181	19,407,084	152,557,096	130,422,773	32,902,788	730	4,186,122
				(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
	COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS: % OF TOTAL COMMERC		VATIVES	99.6	11.3	88.4	75.5	(%)	0.0	2.4
	COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS: % OF TOTAL COMMERCE COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS: % OF TOTAL COMMERCE			99.8	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0	2.4
	OR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS: % OF TOTAL COMMERC OR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS: % OF TOTAL COMM			100.0	11.3	88.7	75.8	0.0 19.1	0.0	2.4
TUTALE	UN CUIVIIVIENCIAL DAINNS, SAS & TUS: 70 UP TUTAL CUIVIIV	IERUIAL DAINNO, SAS & IUS WITH I	JERIVATIVES	100.0	11.3	öö./	/5.8	19.1	0.0	2.4

Note: Currently, the call report does not differentiate credit derivatives by over the counter or exchange traded. Credit derivatives have been included in the "over the counter" category as well as in the sum of total derivatives here. Note: "FX" does not include spot FX.

Note: "Other" is defined as the sum of commodity and equity contracts. Note: Numbers may not add up to total due to rounding. Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-L

### CREDIT EQUIVALENT EXPOSURES TOP 25 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAVINGS ASSOCIATIONS AND TRUST COMPANIES IN DERIVATIVES DECEMBER 31, 2017, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS

						BILATERALLY		TOTAL CREDIT	(%)
		LEGAL			TOTAL	NETTED CURRENT	POTENTIAL	EXPOSURE T	OTAL CREDIT
		ENTITY	TOTAL	TOTAL	RISK-BASED	CREDIT	FUTURE	FROM ALL	EXPOSURE
RANK	BANK NAME	INDENTIFIER	ASSETS	DERIVATIVES	CAPITAL	EXPOSURE	EXPOSURE	CONTRACTS	TO CAPITAL
1	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA	7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97	\$2,140,778	\$48,551,629	\$195,839	\$130,395	\$220,934	\$351,329	179
2	CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN	E570DZWZ7FF32TWEFA76	1,385,697	45,819,592	139,351	64,547	131,535	196,082	141
3	GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA	KD3XUN7C6T14HNAYLU02	164,539	41,309,001	27,890	57,498	59,600	117,098	420
4	BANK OF AMERICA NA	B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27	1,751,524	18,085,933	163,243	37,014	56,608	93,622	57
5	WELLS FARGO BANK NA	KB1H1DSPRFMYMCUFXT09	1,747,354	7,466,594	165,735	13,674	31,197	44,871	27
6	HSBC NA	1IE8VN30JCEQV1H4R804	180,372	4,765,005	25,522	7,583	14,256	21,839	86
7	STATE STREET BANK&TRUST CO	571474TGEMMWANRLN572	235,022	1,694,126	17,586	6,112	10,845	16,957	96
8	BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON	HPFHU0OQ28E4N0NFVK49	297,305	1,018,299	20,925	4,532	5,463	9,995	48
9	PNC BANK NATIONAL ASSN	AD6GFRVSDT01YPT1CS68	370,002	421,086	34,756	2,743	1,469	4,213	12
10	U S BANK NATIONAL ASSN	6BYL5QZYBDK8S7L73M02	456,026	352,485	45,466	798	4,704	5,503	12
11	NORTHERN TRUST CO	6PTKHDJ8HDUF78PFWH30	138,163	329,245	9,682	1,222	2,480	3,702	38
12	SUNTRUST BANK	IYDOJBGJWY9T8XKCSX06	201,638	252,762	22,132	1,003	2,777	3,780	17
13	MORGAN STANLEY BANK NA	G1MLHIS0N32I3QPILB75	129,707	219,762	15,454	276	2,767	3,043	20
14	TD BANK NATIONAL ASSN	03D0JEWFDFUS0SEEKG89	288,294	183,151	25,959	1,487	1,147	2,634	10
15	CAPITAL ONE NATIONAL ASSN	207ALC1P1YM0OVDV0K75	290,651	133,887	26,214	1,034	2,158	3,193	12
16	MUFG UNION BANK NA	OX3PU53ZLPQKJ4700D47	118,537	126,948	15,335	828	376	1,204	8
17	CITIZENS BANK NATIONAL ASSN	DRMSV1Q0EKMEXLAU1P80	121,996	95,517	14,128	634	675	1,309	9
18	KEYBANK NATIONAL ASSN	HUX2X73FUCYHUVH1BK78	135,758	95,052	14,957	572	333	905	6
19	REGIONS BANK	EQTWLK1G7ODGC2MGLV11	123,325	83,549	14,042	321	678	999	7
20	FIFTH THIRD BANK	QFROUN1UWUYU0DVIWD51	140,078	80,997	16,126	479	1,103	1,582	10
21	BRANCH BANKING&TRUST CO	JJKC32MCHWDI71265Z06	216,077	63,147	22,915	432	433	865	4
22	CAPITAL ONE BANK USA NA	LKE37K2B8CFZUR7F9816	119,556	47,934	17,521	129	178	307	2
23	MANUFACTURERS&TRADERS TR CO	WWB2V0FCW3A0EE3ZJN75	118,072	47,513	11,948	83	157	240	2
24	COMPASS BANK	C90VT034M03BN29IRA40	86,505	46,003	9,008	202	178	380	4
25	BOKF NATIONAL ASSN	FU7RSW4CQQY98A2O7J66	32,217	40,672	3,105	196	244	440	14
			¢10 000 100	¢171 220 000	¢1 074 040	¢000 705	¢550.005	¢004.000	0.2
	OMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS WITH DERIVAT		\$10,989,193	1 1 1 1 1 1	\$1,074,840		\$552,295	\$886,090	82
	DMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS WITH DERIVAT		4,617,116	634,292	496,905		4,974	8,321	2 57
	NOUNT FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS V	WITH DERIVATIVES	15,606,309	171,964,181	1,571,745	337,143	557,269	894,411	57

Note: Total credit exposure is defined as the credit equivalent amount from derivative contracts (RC-R column B lines 20 and 21), which is the sum of netted current credit exposure and PFE.

Note: The total credit exposure to capital ratio is calculated using risk based capital (tier 1 plus tier 2 capital).

Note: Currently, the call report does not differentiate credit derivatives by contract type. Credit derivatives have been included in the sum of total derivatives here.

Note: Numbers may not add up to total due to rounding.

Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-R.

### NOTIONAL AMOUNTS OF DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS HELD FOR TRADING TOP 4 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAVINGS ASSOCIATIONS AND TRUST COMPANIES IN DERIVATIVES DECEMBER 31, 2017, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS

					TOTAL	%	TOTAL	%
		LEGAL			HELD FOR	HELD FOR	NOT FOR	NOT FOR
		ENTITY	TOTAL	TOTAL	TRADING	TRADING	TRADING	TRADING
RANK	BANK NAME	INDENTIFIER	ASSETS	DERIVATIVES	& MTM	& MTM	MTM	MTM
1	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA	7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97	\$2,140,778	\$48,551,629	\$46,776,544	99.4	\$276,606	0.6
2	CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN	E570DZWZ7FF32TWEFA76	1,385,697	45,819,592	44,078,470	99.8	76,554	0.2
3	GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA	KD3XUN7C6T14HNAYLU02	164,539	41,309,001	41,136,686	99.9	23,961	0.1
4	BANK OF AMERICA NA	B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27	1,751,524	18,085,933	16,590,821	95.5	785,628	4.5
TOP 4 CC	OMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH DERIVATIV	ES	\$5,442,538	\$153,766,155	\$148,582,521	99.2	\$1,162,749	0.8
OTHER C	OMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH DERIVATI	VES	10,163,771	18,198,026	16,421,397	91.1	1,611,392	8.9
TOTAL A	MOUNT FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS W	ITH DERIVATIVES	15,606,309	171,964,181	165,003,918	98.3	2,774,141	1.7
	rrently, the call report does not differentiate betw mbers may not add up to total due to rounding.	een traded and not-traded credit derivativ	es. Credit derivati	ves have been excl	uded from the sum o	of total derivative	es here	

Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-L

### GROSS FAIR VALUES OF DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS TOP 4 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAVINGS ASSOCIATIONS AND TRUST COMPANIES IN DERIVATIVES DECEMBER 31, 2017, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS

					TRAD	DING	NOT FOR	TRADING	CREDIT DE	RIVATIVES
		LEGAL			GROSS	GROSS	GROSS	GROSS	GROSS	GROSS
		ENTITY	TOTAL	TOTAL	POSITIVE	NEGATIVE	POSITIVE	NEGATIVE	POSITIVE	NEGATIVE
RANK	BANK NAME	INDENTIFIER	ASSETS	DERIVATIVES	FAIR VALUE*	FAIR VALUE**	FAIR VALUE*	FAIR VALUE**	FAIR VALUE*	FAIR VALUE**
1	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA	7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97	\$2,140,778	\$48,551,629	\$575,904	\$547,740	\$2,186	\$2,256	\$22,195	\$22,267
2	CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN	E57ODZWZ7FF32TWEFA76	1,385,697	45,819,592	380,890	370,873	984	1,501	22,628	22,935
3	GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA	KD3XUN7C6T14HNAYLU02	164,539	41,309,001	508,068	494,415	127	204	3,156	3,147
4	BANK OF AMERICA NA	B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27	1,751,524	18,085,933	156,792	151,043	16,594	21,955	9,481	10,327
	DMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCs WITH DERIVATIVES		\$5.442.538	\$153,766,155	\$1.621.654	\$1,564.071	\$19.891	\$25.916	\$57.460	\$58,676
			1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1							
	OMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH DERIVATIVES		10,163,771	18,198,026		122,122	8,383	10,616		
TOTAL A	MOUNT FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH D	ERIVATIVES	15,606,309	171,964,181	1,745,510	1,686,193	28,274	36,532	58,754	60,131

Note: Currently, the call report does not differentiate between traded and non-traded credit derivatives. Credit derivatives have been included in the sum of total derivatives here. Numbers may not sum due to rounding. \*Market value of contracts that have a positive fair value as of the end of the quarter. \*\*Market value of contracts that have a negative fair value as of the end of the quarter.

Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-L

## TRADING REVENUES FROM CASH INSTRUMENTS AND DERIVATIVES TOP 4 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAVINGS ASSOCIATIONS AND TRUST COMPANIES IN DERIVATIVES DECEMBER 31, 2017, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS NOTE: REVENUE FIGURES ARE FOR THE QUARTER (NOT YEAR-TO-DATE)

RANK	BANK NAME	LEGAL ENTITY INDENTIFIER	TOTAL ASSETS	TOTAL DERIVATIVES	TOTAL TRADING REV FROM CASH & OFF BAL SHEET POSITIONS	TRADING REV FROM INT RATE POSITIONS	TRADING REV FROM FOREIGN EXCH POSITIONS	TRADING REV FROM EQUITY POSITIONS	TRADING REV FROM COMMOD & OTH POSITIONS	TRADING REV FROM CREDIT POSITIONS
1	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA	7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97	\$2,140,778	\$48,551,629	\$1,791	\$758	\$350	\$527	\$104	\$52
2	CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN	E57ODZWZ7FF32TWEFA76	1,385,697	45,819,592	1,118	760	423	60	1	(126)
3	GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA	KD3XUN7C6T14HNAYLU02	164,539	41,309,001	37	297	(145)	64	0	(179)
4	BANK OF AMERICA NA	B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27	1,751,524	18,085,933	740	52	319	299	42	28
OTHER C	OMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS WITH DERIVATIVES COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS WITH DERIVATIVES MOUNT FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS WITH D	DERIVATIVES	\$5,442,538 10,163,771 15,606,309	\$153,766,155 18,198,026 171,964,181	\$3,686 1,351 5,037	\$1,867 371 2,238	\$947 849 1,796	\$950 40 990	\$147 104 251	(\$225) (12) (237)

Note: Effective in the first quarter of 2007, trading revenues from credit exposures are reported separately, along with the four other types of exposures. The total derivatives column includes credit exposures. Note: Trading revenue is defined here as "trading revenue from cash instruments and off-balance-sheet derivative instruments." Note: Numbers may not add up to total due to rounding.

Source: Call reports, Schedule RI

## NOTIONAL AMOUNTS OF DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS BY CONTRACT TYPE AND MATURITY (INTEREST RATE, FX AND GOLD) TOP 4 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAVINGS ASSOCIATIONS AND TRUST COMPANIES IN DERIVATIVES DECEMBER 31, 2017, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS

	LEGAL ENTITY	TOTAL	TOTAL	INT RATE MATURITY	INT RATE MATURITY	INT RATE MATURITY	INT RATE ALL	FX and GOLD MATURITY	FX and GOLD MATURITY	FX and GOLD MATURITY	FX and GOLD ALL
RANK BANK NAME	INDENTIFIER	ASSETS	DERIVATIVES	< 1 YR	1 - 5 YRS	> 5 YRS	MATURITIES	< 1 YR	1 - 5 YRS	> 5 YRS	MATURITIES
1 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA	7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97	\$2,140,778	\$48,551,629	\$22,152,160	\$15,562,636	\$8,953,336	\$46,668,132	\$7,760,637	\$2,209,155	\$1,106,347	\$11,076,139
2 CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN	E570DZWZ7FF32TWEFA76	1,385,697	45,819,592	21,109,316	4,722,570	3,062,843	28,894,729	8,094,645	1,083,065	492,999	9,670,709
3 GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA	KD3XUN7C6T14HNAYLU02	164,539	41,309,001	18,550,177	6,261,432	6,052,823	30,864,432	998,537	687,652	598,008	2,284,197
4 BANK OF AMERICA NA	B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27	1,751,524	18,085,933	6,057,267	5,039,242	2,684,889	13,781,398	3,513,699	547,480	214,004	4,275,183
TOP 4 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS WITH D OTHER COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS WITH I TOTAL AMOUNT FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS	DERIVATIVES	\$5,442,538 10,163,771 15,606,309	\$153,766,155 18,198,026 171,964,181	\$67,868,920 4,719,722 72,588,642	\$31,585,880 4,567,696 36,153,576	\$20,753,891 2,810,711 23,564,602	\$120,208,691 12,098,128 132,306,819	\$20,367,518 4,012,134 24,379,652	\$4,527,352 277,864 4,805,216	\$2,411,358 113,971 2,525,329	\$27,306,228 4,403,969 31,710,197

Note: Figures above exclude any contracts not subject to risk-based capital requirements, such as FX contracts with an original maturity of 14 days or less, futures contracts, written options, and basis swaps. Therefore, the total notional amount of derivatives by maturity will not add to the total derivatives figure in this table.

Note: Numbers may not add up to total due to rounding.

Note: Effective 2015 Q1, the reporting form and call report instructions changed. Schedule RC-R now requires banks to report FX and gold notional amounts in aggregate, rather than separately. Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-R

### NOTIONAL AMOUNTS OF DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS BY CONTRACT TYPE & MATURITY (PRECIOUS METALS) TOP 4 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAVINGS ASSOCIATIONS AND TRUST COMPANIES IN DERIVATIVES DECEMBER 31, 2017, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS

		LEGAL			PREC METALS	PREC METALS	PREC METALS	PREC METALS
		ENTITY	TOTAL	TOTAL	MATURITY	MATURITY	MATURITY	ALL
RANK	BANK NAME	INDENTIFIER	ASSETS	DERIVATIVES	< 1 YR	1 - 5 YRS	> 5 YRS	MATURITIES
1	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA	7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97	\$2,140,778	\$48,551,629	\$14,530	\$456	\$0	\$14,986
2	CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN	E570DZWZ7FF32TWEFA76	1,385,697	45,819,592	7,771	1,201	0	8,972
3	GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA	KD3XUN7C6T14HNAYLU02	164,539	41,309,001	0	0	0	0
4	BANK OF AMERICA NA	B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27	1,751,524	18,085,933	31	0	0	31
TOP 4	COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH DER	IVATIVES	\$5,442,538	\$153,766,155	\$22,332	\$1,657	\$0	\$23,989
OTHER	COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH DEF	RIVATIVES	10,163,771	18,198,026	6,283	719	8	7,010
TOTAL	FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH	I DERIVATIVES	15,606,309	171,964,181	28,615	2,376	8	30,999

Note: Figures above exclude any contracts not subject to risk-based capital requirements, such as FX contracts with an original maturity of 14 days or less, futures contracts, written options, and basis swaps. Therefore, the total notional amount of derivatives by maturity will not add to the total derivatives figure in this table.

Note: Numbers may not add up to total due to rounding. Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-R

# NOTIONAL AMOUNTS OF DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS BY CONTRACT TYPE AND MATURITY (OTHER COMMODITY AND EQUITY TOP 4 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAVINGS ASSOCIATIONS AND TRUST COMPANIES IN DERIVATIVE! DECEMBER 31, 2017, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS

		LEGAL			OTHER COMM	OTHER COMM	OTHER COMM	OTHER COMM	EQUITY	EQUITY	EQUITY	EQUITY
		ENTITY	TOTAL	TOTAL	MATURITY	MATURITY	MATURITY	ALL	MATURITY	MATURITY	MATURITY	ALL
RANK	BANK NAME	INDENTIFIER	ASSETS	DERIVATIVES	< 1 YR	1 - 5 YRS	> 5 YRS	MATURITIES	< 1 YR	1 - 5 YRS	> 5 YRS	MATURITIES
1	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA	7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97	\$2,140,778	\$48,551,629	\$734,583	\$96,421	\$19,532	\$850,536	\$1,551,224	\$472,238	\$92,096	\$2,115,558
2	CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN	E570DZWZ7FF32TWEFA76	1,385,697	45,819,592	98,008	40,289	3,503	141,800	310,639	120,894	10,751	442,284
3	GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA	KD3XUN7C6T14HNAYLU02	164,539	41,309,001	3,538	3,604	13	7,155	11,477	13,822	4,245	29,544
4	BANK OF AMERICA NA	B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27	1,751,524	18,085,933	9,592	3,174	602	13,368	308,120	56,649	1,776	366,545
	OMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH DERIVAT		\$5,442,538	\$153,766,155	\$845,721	\$143,488	\$23,650	\$1,012,859	\$2,181,460	\$663,603	\$108,868	\$2,953,931
OTHER C	COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH DERIVAT	TIVES	10,163,771	18,198,026	37,362	58,591	1,525	97,477	114,226	69,306	4,282	187,814
TOTAL FO	OR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH DER	RIVATIVES	15,606,309	171,964,181	883,083	202,079	25,175	1,110,336	2,295,686	732,909	113,150	3,141,745

Note: Figures above exclude any contracts not subject to risk-based capital requirements, such as FX contracts with an original maturity of 14 days or less, futures contracts, written options, and basis swaps. Therefore, the total notional amount of derivatives by maturity will not add to the total derivatives figure in this table.

Note: Numbers may not add up to total due to rounding. Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-R

# NOTIONAL AMOUNTS OF CREDIT DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS BY CONTRACT TYPE AND MATURITY (INVESTMENT GRADE AND SUB-INVESTMENT GRADE) TOP 4 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAVINGS ASSOCIATIONS AND TRUST COMPANIES IN DERIVATIVES DECEMBER 31, 2017, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS

	LEGAL					CREDIT DERI INVESTMENT				CREDIT DER SUB-INVESTM		
	ENTITY	TOTAL	TOTAL	TOTAL CREDIT	MATURITY	MATURITY	MATURITY	ALL	MATURITY	MATURITY	MATURITY	ALL
RANK BANK NAME	INDENTIFIER	ASSETS	DERIVATIVES	DERIVATIVES	< 1 YR	1 - 5 YRS	> 5 YRS	MATURITIES	< 1 YR	1 - 5 YRS	> 5 YRS	MATURITIES
1 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA	7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97	\$2,140,778	\$48,551,629	\$1,498,479	\$325,246	\$650,395	\$66,834	\$1,042,475	\$155,433	\$271,149	\$29,422	\$456,004
2 CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN	E570DZWZ7FF32TWEFA76	1,385,697	45,819,592	1,664,568	361,012	833,230	63,210	1,257,452	119,406	276,228	11,482	407,116
3 GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA	KD3XUN7C6T14HNAYLU02	164,539	41,309,001	148,354	22,702	53,731	17,473	93,906	16,632	26,137	11,679	54,448
4 BANK OF AMERICA NA	B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27	1,751,524	18,085,933	709,484	214,304	315,290	32,129	561,723	69,524	64,476	13,761	147,761
TOP 4 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH DER	IVATIVES	\$5,442,538	\$153,766,155	\$4,020,885	\$923,264	\$1,852,646	\$179,646	\$2,955,556	\$360,995	\$637,990	\$66,344	\$1,065,329
OTHER COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH DEF	RIVATIVES	10,163,771	18,198,026	165,237	10,378	44,136	5,865	60,378	13,926	79,992	10,941	104,859
TOTAL AMOUNT FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs &	AL AMOUNT FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH DERIVATIVES		171,964,181	4,186,122	933,642	1,896,782	185,511	3,015,934	374,921	717,982	77,285	1,170,188

Note: Figures above exclude any contracts not subject to risk-based capital requirements, such as FX contracts with an original maturity of 14 days or less, futures contracts, written options, and basis swaps. Therefore, the total notional amount of derivatives by maturity will not add to the total derivatives figure in this table. Note: Numbers may not add up to total due to rounding. Source: Call reports, Schedule RC-L and RC-R

### DISTRIBUTION OF CREDIT DERIVATIVE CONTRACTS HELD FOR TRADING TOP 25 COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAVINGS ASSOCIATIONS AND TRUST COMPANIES IN DERIVATIVES DECEMBER 31, 2017, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS

						TOTAL C	REDIT		PUR	CHASED			S	OLD	
		LEGAL			TOTAL	DERIVA	TIVES	CREDIT	TOTAL		OTHER	CREDIT	TOTAL		OTHER
		ENTITY	TOTAL	TOTAL	CREDIT			DEFAULT	RETURN	CREDIT	CREDIT	DEFAULT	RETURN	CREDIT	CREDIT
RANK	BANK NAME	INDENTIFIER	ASSETS	DERIVATIVES	DERVATIVES		SOLD	SWAPS	SWAPS	OPTIONS	DERIVATIVES	SWAPS	SWAPS		DERIVATIVES
1	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK NA	7H6GLXDRUGQFU57RNE97	\$2,140,778	\$47,053,150	\$1,498,479	\$763,461	\$735,018	\$691,120	\$19,827	\$46,326	\$6,188	\$676,017	\$12,284	\$46,682	\$35
2	CITIBANK NATIONAL ASSN	E570DZWZ7FF32TWEFA76	1,385,697	44,155,024	1,664,568	847,966	816,602	765,841	22,997	59,128	0	750,128	11,857	54,617	0
3	GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA	KD3XUN7C6T14HNAYLU02	164,539	41,160,647	148,354	81,151	67,203	73,928	2,520	4,651	52	60,469	2,520	4,214	0
4	BANK OF AMERICA NA	B4TYDEB6GKMZO031MB27	1,751,524	17,376,449	709,484	358,877	350,607	318,223	10,477	30,177	0	306,689	12,629	31,289	0
5	WELLS FARGO BANK NA	KB1H1DSPRFMYMCUFXT09	1,747,354	7,439,058	27,536	17,886	9,650	3,137	0	0	14,749	2,572	0	0	7,078
6	HSBC NA	1IE8VN30JCEQV1H4R804	180,372	4,674,714	90,290	47,962	42,328	43,125	4,837	0	0	40,727	1,602	0	0
7	STATE STREET BANK&TRUST CO	571474TGEMMWANRLN572	235,022	1,694,126	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON	HPFHU00Q28E4N0NFVK49	297,305	1,018,119	180	180	0	180	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	PNC BANK NATIONAL ASSN	AD6GFRVSDT01YPT1CS68	370,002	414,770	6,316	2,702	3,614	15	0	0	2,687	0	0	0	3,614
10	U S BANK NATIONAL ASSN	6BYL5QZYBDK8S7L73M02	456,026	347,148	5,337	1,559	3,779	0	0	0	1,559	0	0	0	3,779
11	NORTHERN TRUST CO	6PTKHDJ8HDUF78PFWH30	138,163	329,245	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	SUNTRUST BANK	IYDOJBGJWY9T8XKCSX06	201,638	248,792	3,970	2,242	1,729	520	1,717	0	4	0	1,717	0	11
13	MORGAN STANLEY BANK NA	G1MLHIS0N32I3QPILB75	129,707	209,434	10,328	10,328	0	10,328	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	TD BANK NATIONAL ASSN	03D0JEWFDFUS0SEEKG89	288,294	182,786	365	360	5	360	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
15	CAPITAL ONE NATIONAL ASSN	207ALC1P1YM0OVDV0K75	290,651	130,845	3,042	966	2,077	0	0	0	966	0	0	0	2,077
16	MUFG UNION BANK NA	OX3PU53ZLPQKJ4700D47	118,537	126,872	76	76	0	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	CITIZENS BANK NATIONAL ASSN	DRMSV1Q0EKMEXLAU1P80	121,996	93,033	2,484	0	2,484	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,484
18	KEYBANK NATIONAL ASSN	HUX2X73FUCYHUVH1BK78	135,758	94,722	330	207	123	207	0	0	0	30	93	0	0
19	REGIONS BANK	EQTWLK1G7ODGC2MGLV11	123,325	80,356	3,193	1,080	2,113	43	0	0	1,038	43	0	0	2,070
20	FIFTH THIRD BANK	QFROUN1UWUYU0DVIWD51	140,078	77,570	3,426	588	2,838	0	0	0	588	0	0	0	2,838
21	BRANCH BANKING&TRUST CO	JJKC32MCHWDI71265Z06	216,077	63,147	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	CAPITAL ONE BANK USA NA	LKE37K2B8CFZUR7F9816	119,556	47,934	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	MANUFACTURERS&TRADERS TR CO	WWB2V0FCW3A0EE3ZJN75	118,072	47,513	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	COMPASS BANK	C90VT034M03BN29IRA40	86,505	45,942	61	0	61	0	0	0	0	61	0	0	0
25	BOKF NATIONAL ASSN	FU7RSW4CQQY98A2O7J66	32,217	40,672	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOD OF	COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAs & TCs WITH DERIVATIVES		\$10.989.193	\$167.152.067	\$4,177,822	\$2,137,591	\$2.040.231	\$1.907.103	\$62.375	\$140,282	\$27.830	\$1,836,741	\$42,702	\$136,802	\$23,987
	OMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS WITH DERIVATIVES		4.617.116	625,992	\$4,177,822	32,137,391	\$2,040,231	\$1,907,103	\$02,373 79	\$140,282	3,705	31,030,741	\$42,702	\$130,602	\$23,987
	MOUNT FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS WITH DERIVATIVES	TIMES	4,617,116	167.778.059	4,186,122	2,141,386	4,504	1,907,114	62,455	140.282	3,705	1.837.127	42,704	136.802	28.103
TUTAL A	MOUNT FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS WITH DERIVA	TIVES	15,606,309	107,778,039	4,180,122	2,141,380	2,044,735	1,907,114	62,455	140,282	31,535	1,837,127	42,704	130,802	28,103
					(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
TOP 25 (	COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCs: % OF TOTAL COMMERCIAL	BANKS, SAS & TCs WITH DERIVATI	VES		99.8	51.1	48.7	45.6	1.5	3.4	0.7	43.9	1.0	3.3	0.6
	OMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCs: % OF TOTAL COMMERCIAL				0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
	MOUNT FOR COMMERCIAL BANKS, SAS & TCS: % OF TOTAL			FS	100.0	51.2	48.8	45.6	1.5		0.8	43.9	1.0	3.3	0.7
				-	100.0	0112	10.0	10.0	1.0	0.1	0.0	10.7	1.0	0.0	
	edit derivatives have been excluded from the sum of total derivatives	ivatives here.													
	mbers may not add up to total due to rounding.														
Source: 0	Call reports, Schedule RC-L														

## TABLE 13

DECEMBER 31, 2017, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS	
Call Depart Schoolula SU	
Call Report Schedule SU A. Gross Notional Amount of Derivatives	
Total gross notional amount of interest rate derivatives held for trading	\$542
Total gross notional amount of all other derivatives held for trading	\$542 \$5
Total gross notional amount of interest rate derivatives not held for trading	\$6,092
Total gross notional amount of all other derivatives not held for trading	\$86
Call Report Schedule RC-R	
A. Notional principal amounts of over-the-counter derivative contracts covered by the regulatory capital rules:	
a. Interest rate	\$3,845
b. Foreign exchange rate and gold	\$0
c. Credit (investment grade reference asset)	\$13
d. Credit (non-investment grade reference asset)	\$11
e. Equity	\$0
g. Other	\$5
f. Precious metals (except gold)	\$0
B. Notional principal amounts of centrally cleared derivative contracts covered by the regulatory capital rules:	
a. Interest rate	\$249
b. Foreign exchange rate and gold	\$0
c. Credit (investment grade reference asset)	\$0
d. Credit (non-investment grade reference asset)	\$0
e. Equity	\$0
f. Precious metals (except gold)	\$0
g. Other	\$0
C. Current credit exposure across all derivative contracts covered by the regulatory capital rules	\$44